# 飛 The UNiVERSITY OF ARIZONA。 

## FORM TO REQUEST SUBSTANTIAL CHANGES TO AN EXISTING UNDERGRADUATE MAJOR

A request for substantial changes to an existing program requires approval from the school director/department head (managing administrator), college academic dean, Curricular Affairs, Undergraduate Council (UGC), and College Academic Administrators Council (CAAC). Additional approvals may be required, depending on the requested changes. Complete this form and submit to Martin Marquez (martinmarquez@email.arizona.edu) no later than October 25, 2019 to be considered for inclusion in the 2020-2021 Academic Catalog.
I. Requested by (College \& School/Department):

College of Architecture, Planning, and Landscape Architecture, School of Architecture
II. Proposer's name, title, email and phone number:

Robert Miller, Director, School of Architecture, millerr@email.arizona.edu, 520.621.6752
III. Degree, major and number of students enrolled in the major. If you have emphases (sub-plans), list the number of students enrolled by emphasis:
Bachelor of Architecture (accredited, National Architectural Accrediting Board, Inc. (NAAB)), 400 students.
IV. Describe proposed changes to the major. Provide a rationale and explanation for making changes to the major and include any relevant supporting data. Are the changes proposed a result of Annual Program Review (APR) and/or a result from the assessment of programmatic outcomes? If you are requesting a name change, please indicate if the subject code (course prefix) will also change. Include requested new prefix code and description.

## WHAT PRODUCED THIS PROPOSAL

>After our last accreditation in 2017, which was coupled with an Academic Program Review, the idea of "Optimizing" the degree was born out of strategic planning discussions by the Faculty: make the degree more efficient, remove redundancy, add impact.
$>\operatorname{In}$ AY 2017-2019 the goals and broad outlines of Optimization were adopted by the School Curriculum Committee (comprised student- and faculty-members); a new Foundation curriculum was implemented; changes were initiated in the Studio Stream; we applied for and received STEM status for the degree.
$>\ln$ 2019-2020 new course syllabi have been drafted for the remaining courses and submitted. A new research phase of the degree was designed and adopted, which will give students greater agency in their studies during the final three semesters.

In summary, this is a multi-year process resulting in a degree overhaul with input from students and the Faculty.

## RATIONALE

>Reduce redundancy and busywork for students.
>Generate better synergy between courses, workshops, and studios, with lessons reinforced across parallel courses and shared deliverables between courses where possible.
$>$ Reduce the number of required courses; add student-choice and control in the last phase of the degree; create a trajectory after Milestone 2 in which each student develops a personal career vision.
>Improve the scholarly and research skills of graduates.
>Produce better and broader design abilities of students.
>Deliver accreditation-required core courses before Milestone 2, thus equipping every student with the requisite skills that allow them to collaborate, do applied outreach work, and tackle ambiguous problems during the final three semesters.

## PROPOSED CHANGES

1. ACADEMIC PLAN: We propose to change the respective purpose of the two Academic Plans that comprise the Bachelor of Architecture (B.Arch).

Currently, students are admitted to PRARND where they take freshman coursework, then they apply for admission to ARCHBARCH for four years of the professional phase curriculum. This makes freshmen feel like they are not part of the School and not real architecture students.

We propose to have applicants apply to PRARND, then be selectively admitted into ARCHBARCH, which will now contain the full five-year curriculum. We have added an internal application process to PRARND that will allow it to temporarily hold a pool of applicants during review. This will provide more nuanced selectivity in admissions (leading to better quality students) and allow us better control of the total number of students (leading to better utilization of our tight facilities). Consequently, the document describes composite changes to PRARND and ARCHBARCH that include recent approvals leading up to this major transformation of the degree.
2. MATH (previously approved): College Algebra and Trigonometry were dropped in favor of

MATH 108, a course developed specifically by the Math department to address the actual competencies of our incoming freshman and instruct them in mathematics actually needed for architectural studies. Other needed math skills have been incorporated in the School's Technology Stream.
3. PHYSICS (previously approved): We eliminated College Physics and Lab from freshman year and internalized physics instruction into the School's Technology Stream, so material is taught when it is needed and when it can be immediately applied and related to studio teaching. The new ARC 121 | Physical Principles of the Built Environment addresses the actual competencies of our incoming freshman and instructs them in physics principles actually needed for architectural studies.
4. FOREIGN LANGUAGE: We request permission to eliminate the foreign language requirement (submitted to UWGEC). NO ABOR peers who offer an accredited B.Arch require with it a foreign language; ONLY TWO accredited Bachelor of Architecture degrees in North America require a foreign language, and both waive the requirement for applicants with two semesters of high school language (see Part VI).

For the past 20 years, architectural education has gotten bloated as the computer has revolutionized the design and construction industry, adding complex systems and computational practices that require new ways of thinking and new skills in design, computation, presentation, and fabrication. Most architecture programs have sacrificed foreign language (along with some math and physics requirements) to make way for teaching digital competence. Some consider digital competence to parallel the benefits of foreign language study, not to mention it having become an essential contemporary global competency for architects.
5. TRANSITION: The B.Arch curriculum is organized into five Streams. We have reduced the number required courses in the major by two; we have recreated or are changing every course in the major. This matrix shows the old vs. new curriculum by Stream and illustrates the compression of required courses by subject area, the semester in which courses are transitioned, and the degree of change in each course. We have met with students in the impacted cohorts, explained the changes, and have (or will) solicited their consent to transition to the new curriculum. Each cohort has its own transitional curriculum with a guide for course equivalency in the case of courses being phased out.


All P3 electives will be offered by the School of Architecture, and will vary by Research Cluster.
${ }^{* *} \mathrm{NAAB}$ requires min. $45-\mathrm{CU}$ in General Studies, which determines the aggregate total of coursework outside Architecture and is resolved in electives.
6. RESEARCH CLUSTERS: Phase 3, the last three semesters, will emphasize Research and Application. The Faculty will form Research Groups in response to an annual call for Research Clusters: thematically-related courses that will build an applied research culture and focus on areas of faculty expertise. This will foster Faculty collaboration, keep the pedagogy fresh, and model to students the kinds of applied knowledge that are current in architectural practice. Based on enrollment, the School will be able to support 3-5 Clusters per year; students will be required to complete a minimum of four courses from one Cluster:

2-P3 electives, or, 1 P3 elective +1 options studio
ARC 497 | Project Inquiry
ARC 498 | Capstone Studio

The courses in each Cluster will be designed to advance the depth, rigor, and sophistication of student work in each thematic area and be taught by at least two faculty members. Proposals will be evaluated by the School Curriculum Committee and Clusters may be renewed for multiple years.
7. TOTAL CU: We propose to reduce total credit units from 176-CU to 165-CU, bringing the degree into better alignment with peer institutions-see Part VI.
8. ACCREDITATION REQUIREMENTS: The National Architectural Accrediting Board, Inc. (NAAB) requires minimum 150-CU, of which 10-CU must be in Optional Studies (outside professional studies) and 45-CU in General Studies (non-architecture).
9. PHASES: The five-year degree will still have three phases, each separated by a Milestone for qualified passage to the subsequent phase:

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{} \& \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{B.Arch curriculum} \\
\hline \& \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{required CU} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{CU} \\
\hline \&  \&  \&  \& \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{phase1: FOUNDATION} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Fall 1 \\
ENGL 101 English \\
Math 108 \\
ARC 101a I Foundation Studio la \\
ARC 131a I Thinking About Architecture \\
ARC 101b I Foundation Studio lb \\
ARC 131b I Thinking About Architecture
\end{tabular} \& 2
1
2
1 \& 3
4 \& \& \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Spring 1 \\
ENGL 102 English \\
ARC 102 I Foundation Studio II \\
ARC 121 I Physical Principles of the Built Environment \\
tier 1 GenED \({ }^{2}\) \\
tier 1 GenED \({ }^{2}\)
\end{tabular} \& 4
2 \& 3

3
3 \& \& 13 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& 15 <br>
\hline MILESTONE1 ${ }^{\text {A }}$ \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

## B.Arch curriculum


V. Comparison Chart-complete the chart below using your existing academic advisement report. You may not need to complete all portions. Highlight row(s) indicating the proposed significant changes. You can find course information to help complete the chart below by using the UA course catalog or UAnalytics (Catalog and Schedule Dashboard> "Printable Course Descriptions by Department" On Demand Report; right side of screen). Proposed changes resulting in similar curriculum with other plans (within department, college, or university) may require completion of an additional comparison chart.

| compar | Existing Major Requirements | Requirements For Modified Major |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major, emphasis (if applicable) and degree * | B.Arch | B.Arch |
| CIP Code -lookup here or contact Martin Marquez for assistance, if needed | $\begin{aligned} & 04.0200 \\ & 04.0902 \end{aligned}$ | 04.0902 |
| Total units required to complete the degree* | 176 | 165 |
| Upper -division units required to complete the degree | 83 | 86 |
| Total CC transfer units that may apply to this degree* | 0 | 0 |
| Foundation courses |  |  |
| Math | Moderate | no change |
| Second Language | $2^{\text {nd }}$ semester proficiency | No second language required |
| General Education |  |  |
| Tier I GE Requirements (150, 160, 170) | 2- Tier 1150 (INDV) <br> 2- Tier 1160 (TRAD) <br> 1- Tier 1170 (NATS) | add 1-Tier 1170 (NATS) to replace PHYS 102/181 |
| Tier II GE Requirements (Arts, HUMS, INDV, NATS) | 1-Tier II Humanities <br> 1- Tier II Individuals and Societies <br> 1-Tier II Natural Sciences | no change |
| Pre-major? (Yes/No) | Yes | Yes |
| List any special requirements to declare or gain admission to this major (completion of specific coursework, minimum GPA, interview, application, etc.) | ```to PRARND 2.0 GPA to ARCHBARCH 2.0 GPA and Milestone 1: Portfolio-25\% GPA-15\% Studio grades-45\% History+Theory Grade—15\%``` | ```to PRARND 2.0 GPA; to ARCHBARCH Submit mechanical diagram, drawing, photo, and essay. Milestone 1 (after semester 2, admit up to 90): 2.0 GPA Portfolio-25\% GPA-15\%``` |


|  | within ARCHBARCH <br> 2.0 GPA; <br> minimum 2.0 GPA in ARC 201 <br> through ARC 451b; <br> Milestone 2 (after semester 7, <br> admit all who qualify): portfolio <br> review across five curricular <br> Streams: Studio, History+Theory, <br> Design Communications, <br> Technology, and Practice. | Studio grades-45\% <br> ARC 121/131 grades-15\% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sesign Communications, <br> Streams: Studio, History+Theory, <br> admit all who qualify): portfolio <br> review across five curricular |  |  |
| Minimum \# of units required in the major |  |  |
| (units counting towards major units and major and Practice. |  |  |
| GPA) |  |  |$\quad$| 131 |
| :--- |

*May require Arizona Board of Regents (ABOR) approval
${ }^{\wedge}$ Emphases are officially recognized sub-specializations within the discipline. ABOR Policy 2-221 c. Academic Degree Programs Subspecializations requires all undergraduate emphases within a major to share at least $40 \%$ curricular commonality across emphases (known as "major core"). Total units required for each emphasis must be equal.
VI. Peer institution comparison- describe how your modified major requirements are similar and different from major requirements of two peer institutions. Select peers from (in order of priority) ABOR approved institutions, AAU members, and/or other relevant institutions recognized in the field.

2019 schools of architecture offering an accredited Bachelor of Architecture


The UA B.Arch currently has $15 \%$ more CU than the average of other B.Arch degrees offered in the US. Our proposal would bring us to within $6 \%$ of the average load of the other schools and within 4-CU of the ABOR Peer institutions' B.Arch degrees. This will make us market competitive without sacrificing the quality of education delivered.
VII. Faculty impact- indicate if new faculty hires will be required to deliver the proposed modified/new curriculum.

No additional faculty will be needed. While we will reduce the number of required courses by two, we will increase the number of technical electives, which will have smaller class sizes, in order to populate the new Research Clusters in Phase 3 of the degree. As a result, there will be more, smaller, courses in Phase 3 of the degree offering students greater curricular choice and higher teacher-student ratios.
VIII. Budgetary impact- indicate new resources needed and source of funding to implement the proposed changes. If reallocating resources, indicate where resources will be taken from and the impact this will have on students/faculty/program/unit.

While we will drop the number of required units in the major from 130 to 119, we are increasing the cohort size from about 60 students/class in years 2-5 to about 85. As the drop in CU occurs entirely in years 2-5, the approximate change in SCH is estimated to increase by about 30\%:

## B.Arch curriculum

## CU in students

major per year
current 13160
SCH change
proposed
119
60
7860
8510115
129\%

## IX. Required signatures

Managing unit administrator (print name and title): Robert Miller, Director, School of Architecture


Date: 2019.11.05

Managing unit administrator (print name and title): $\qquad$

Managing administrator's signature: $\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$

Dean (print name): Nancy Pollock-Ellwand, Ph.D., FCSLA; CAPLADean

Dean's signature:


Date: 2019.11.05

Dean (print name):

Dean's signature: $\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$

Note: In some situations, signatures of more than one unit head and/or college dean may be required.

For use by Curricular Affairs:

| Committee | Approval <br> date |
| :--- | :--- |
| Academic Programs Subcommittee |  |
| Undergraduate Council |  |
| College Academic Administrators Council |  |
| Arizona Board of Regents (if applicable) |  |

Notify proposers of approvalUpload proposal documents to relevant UAccess tablesNotify ADVIP team and proposers

## If ABOR approval required :

$\square$ If applicable, create approval memoSend memo to college/dept and acad_org listserv.If applicable, create new plan code (secondary too)If applicable, update emphasesIf applicable, add last admit term to prior plan code(s)Upload proposal docs to relevant UAccess table valuesNotify ADVIP team and proposers

