# 飛 The UNiversity of ArizonA。 New Academic Program Workflow Form 

## General

## Proposed Name: Comp Science and Engineering

Transaction Nbr: 00000000000158
Plan Type: Major
Academic Career: Undergraduate
Degree Offered: Bachelor of Science
Do you want to offer a minor? Y
Anticipated 1st Admission Term: Fall 2023

## Details

Department(s):

## ENGR

| DEPTMNT ID | DEPARTMENT NAME | HOST |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2303 | Electrical \& Computer Engr | Y |

Campus(es):
DIST

| LOCATION | DESCRIPTION |
| :--- | :--- |
| CHANDLER | Chandler |
| YUMA | Yuma |

MAIN

| LOCATION | DESCRIPTION |
| :--- | :--- |
| TUCSON | Tucson |

## ONLN

| LOCATION | DESCRIPTION |
| :--- | :--- |
| ONLN | Online |

Admission application terms for this plan: Spring: Y Summer: Y Fall: Y
Plan admission types:
Freshman: Y Transfer: Y Readmit: Y Graduate: N
Non Degree Certificate (UCRT only): N
Other (For Community Campus specifics): N

Plan Taxonomy: 11.0701, Computer Science.
Program Length Type: Program Length Value: 0.00
Report as NSC Program:
SULA Special Program:

## Print Option:

Diploma: Y Bachelor of Science Computer Science and Engineering
Transcript: Y Bachelor of Science Computer Science and Engineering

## Conditions for Admission/Declaration for this Major:

All students are enrolled as Engineering, no major selected until they have completed the following:

- Calculus I with a grade of C or better
- 12 or more UA credits of coursework within the Engineering curriculum (shown above)

New first-year students CAN be admitted into the degree program, prior to enrolling at the University of Arizona--a student's eligibility for this option is conveyed to admitted students by the ENGR Academic Affairs Office, if/when a student is also admitted to Honors College.

Admissions GPA of 2.0 or higher

## Requirements for Accreditation:

Computing Accreditation Commision (CAC) of Accreditation Board for Engineering and Technology (ABET)

## Program Comparisons

## University Appropriateness

Two of the UA College of Engineering's strategic pillars are:

1) Driving student success for a rapidly changing world, and
2) Tackling critical problems at the edges of human endeavor

The new BS Computer Science and Engineering degree plays a critical role in both pillars. The students graduating with a BS in Computer Science and Engineering will be well positioned to develop the skills and mindsets to be leaders in the areas of computing, machine learning, ever-increasing automation and connectivity, human and intelligent systems, data science, and network sciences.

By offering competitive, relevant, and experiential-based learning to prospective students, the proposed program has the potential to build a strong pipeline for undergraduate and graduate education in Computer Science and Engineering. It will contribute to the much-needed workforce development to close the talent gap in computing and expand the ability to grow research programs that are attractive to forthcoming undergraduate and graduate students. All of which contribute to higher recruitment numbers and bringing additional revenue to the College and University. To support the proposed program, we will recruit faculty who can significantly impact computing areas of research and education. These faculty will pursue externally funded, competitive research to advance the state-of-theart in applied computer science and engineering and integrate their research into the curricula. The broader impacts of these faculty will ultimately lead to a nationally recognized computer science and engineering program at the University of Arizona. It is also anticipated to catalyze collaboration and strengthen the existing electrical and computer engineering program and other engineering disciplines in the College of Engineering.

Another goal of offering the BS Computer Science and Engineering degree is to increase the number of female and other underrepresented students in the College of Engineering by leveraging Broaden Participation in Computing (BPC) a national initiative by the Computing Research Association with support from the National Science Foundation (NSF) Directorate for Computer and Information Science and Engineering (CISE). Additional features and programs that contribute to enhancing student success and increasing diversity and inclusion will be included in the support infrastructure for the degree, aiming to foster academic cultures that are more inclusive of non-dominant identities and infuse policy-driven, identity-inclusive strategies throughout the entire program.

Arizona University System

| NBR | PROGRAM | DEGREE | \#STDNTS | LOCATION | ACCRDT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Computer <br> Science | BS | 1406 | Univ of Arizona - <br> Main Campus | N |
| 2 | Software <br> Engineering | BS | 100 | Univ of Arizona - <br> Main Campus | N |
| 3 | Software <br> Engineering | BS | 1494 | ASU - Main | Y |
| 4 | Computer <br> Science | BS | 3358 | ASU - Main | Y |
| 5 | Computer <br> Science | BS | 225 | NAU | Y |

## Peer Comparison

See attached file for a comparison of the proposed program to other universities with similar programs.

The UA Computer Science and Engineering degree program is similar to the three peer programs that require and build strong foundational skills in math and/or physics (or other natural sciences), and computing applications as part of their curriculums. The math courses are similar and deviate only after Calculus II. All programs also offer several introductory and advanced programming courses using a variety of computer programming languages. Additional programming skill development/experience is an integral part of the experiential course work and projects in other required courses. All programs offer a course in algorithm analysis, and a variety of technical electives to match student special interest areas. Students in all the programs will be able to pursue software development careers in a variety of diverse and expansive applications areas including web-based development, mobile application development, embedded systems, robotics, machine learning, artificial intelligence, and other softwarerelated fields.

The three Computer Science and Engineering programs (UA, UC Davis, and UofM) are interdisciplinary and offer full-semester courses in computer organization, probability and statistics, introductory hardware courses, and a capstone or major design project. The student learning outcomes (SLOs) and curriculum for the newly proposed College of Engineering CSE degree program, UC Davis and UofM's CSE degrees comply with the ABET /CAC program criterion for Computer Science programs. UC Davis and UofM's CSE programs are fully CAC accredited and the new UArizona CSE program will apply for accreditation after graduating our first student. (Note: The University of Arizona's current Computer Science program offered in the College of Science is not ABET / CAC accredited.)

While there are many similarities in the CSE and CS degree programs at UArizona, there are also key differences that are very attractive to incoming and prospective UArizona students. First and foremost, one of the CSE degree major strengths is the multi-disciplinary influences provided by the Systems and Industrial Engineering, and Electrical and Computer Engineering Departments. The elective options available to students are very diverse and can include courses that give students a broad-based experience in not only software engineering, but also Electrical Engineering and/or Systems Engineering specialties. The intersections of the ECE, SE, and the CSE degree programs, foster the ability to tackle interdisciplinary engineering problems to meet the evolving technological changes and requirements to meet society's needs. This manifests itself in CSE students being an integral part of the highly successful Interdisciplinary Capstone course (ENGR 498A/B) where students work on multidisciplined teams to develop products for a diverse set of industry and/or
academia sponsors.

The new UArizona CSE courses will be developed using relevant and industryfocused technology solutions, tools, languages, and methodologies in a diverse portfolio of applications. Wherever possible, the software development tools and platforms used in the coursework will consist of widely available open-source integrated development environments (IDEs), operating systems (OS), and cloud-based infrastructures. The Software DevSecOps course uses a state-of-the-art software DevOps workflow approach integrated with security considerations using common tools used in the industry. Software DevSecOps enables students to develop, test, and deliver secure software products faster and more efficiently, while at the same time providing a development pipeline of new capabilities and features to consumers. Using DevOps workflows and continuous integration / continuous delivery (CI/CD) approaches, students will be able to plan, develop, and deliver software features to meet customer's everevolving needs. Students will also learn to track and evaluate how the software's quality, security and reliability is increased using the SW DevSecOps approaches.

As is often asked, what are the differences between the UArizona BS Computer Science and Engineering degree and the UArizona CoS Computer Science degree? To begin with, the Computer Science and Engineering degree complies with the ABET/CAC criteria for Computer Science degrees. The Computer Science degree is not compliant with ABET / CAC accreditation requirements. The CSE degree is comprised of 17 units of math, while the CS program has only 12 units of math. While there are some intersections in both programs between the topics and types of classes in each respective degree, the focus of each program is very different. In Computer Science, students focus more on the programming fundamentals and computer science theory. Computer Science and Engineering students, on the other hand, focus on the application of computer science principles to solve complex, multi-faceted/multi-disciplined engineering problems and product development. Computer Science and Engineering will provide a unique opportunity for students to deepen their knowledge of computer science and engineering topics by combining theorybased concepts with advanced, enabling computational techniques and technologies to create solutions that address the grand challenges of the 21st century, and beyond.

The BS Computer Science and Engineering curriculum applies computer science theory and software development fundamentals to produce computing-based solutions. It includes substantial coverage of engineering principles applied to the design of large, networked, scalable computing systems. Competencies include algorithms and complexity, concepts of multiple programming languages, software development, real-time, embedded, and loT systems design and other broad-based engineering principles.

Both programs offer students the opportunity to select technical computing electives that allow them to focus in areas they are interested in. Both programs also offer students the opportunity to pursue supplementary study in another field such as a minor or potentially even double majoring in an adjacent program.

## Faculty \& Resources

Faculty
Current Faculty:

| INSTR ID | NAME | DEPT | RANK | DEGREE | FCLTY/\% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 00747035 | Richard <br> Scholes | 2303 | Adj. Lect. | Master of <br> Science | .25 |
| 00823636 | Michael Wu | 2303 | Professor | Doctor of <br> Philosophy | .25 |
| 00971536 | Donald <br> Bruyere | 2302 | Lecturer | Doctor of <br> Philosophy | .25 |
| 02600592 | Kenneth Head | 2302 | Professor | Doctor of <br> Philosophy | .25 |
| 03308095 | Jerzy <br> Rozenblit | 2303 | Distinguished <br> Prof | Doctor of <br> Philosophy | .25 |
| 09605103 | Marwan Krunz | 2303 | Distinguished <br> Prof | Doctor of <br> Philosophy | .25 |
| 22060179 | Ratchaneekor <br> n Thamvichai | 2303 | Assoc. Prof. <br> Pract. | Doctor of <br> Philosophy | .25 |
| 22072066 | Sharon O'Neal | 2302 | Prof. Pract. | Master of <br> Science | .25 |
| 22083818 | Diana Saldana <br> Jimenez | 2302 | Assit. Prof. <br> Pract. | Doctor of <br> Philosophy | .25 |
| 22094595 | Mohammad <br> Abu matar | 2303 | Assoc. Prof | Doctor of <br> Philosophy | .25 |
| 23113566 | Umar Amjad | ENG | Assit. Prof | Doctor of <br> R Philosophy | .25 |

Additional Faculty:
Projected Additional Resource Acquisition Plan (by Year) (On Campus + Online)

Resource Type 2023-20242024-20252025-2026Total New
Instructors Acquired Over 3 Years
Tenured Track Faculty 4
$4 \quad 2 \quad 2$
8
Professor of Practice
1
0
1
2
Adjunct
0
0
2
2

In summary, 8 Tenure Track faculty over 3 years, 2 Professor of Practice faculty over 3 years, and 2 Adjunct faculty over 3 years

Current Student \& Faculty FTE

| DEPARTMENT | UGRD HEAD COUNT | GRAD HEAD COUNT | FACULTY FTE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2303 | 465 | 305 | 50.00 |

Projected Student \& Faculty FTE

|  | UGRD HEAD COUNT |  |  | GRAD HEAD COUNT |  |  | FACULTY FTE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEPT | YR 1 | YR 2 | YR 3 | YR 1 | YR 2 | YR 3 | YR 1 | YR 2 | YR 3 |
| 2303 | 525 | 605 | 765 | 305 | 320 | 350 | 55.00 | 60.00 | 66.00 |

## Library

Acquisitions Needed:
None

## Physical Facilities \& Equipment

Existing Physical Facilities:
Office and laboratory space will be required for new faculty. It is currently anticipated that for the first 3 years of the program, the new facilities required can be accommodated in the current Electrical and Computer Engineering building.

Additional Facilities Required \& Anticipated:
New laboratory equipment needed for Tenure Track faculty is included in estimated start-up packages and will vary depending on the nature of the research for acquired new faculty members.

## Other Support

Other Support Currently Available:
The College of ENGR and ECE Dept is currently well structured and to be able to accommodate the new program, including IT support. Additional staff will be required and described below.

Other Support Needed over the Next Three Years:
It is anticipated that a new undergraduate advisor will be required to support new CSE students. It is also anticipated that an additional staff member will be required to support the ECE business office with grant writing, HR , and other support functions.

## Comments During Approval Process

11/14/2022 4:37 PM
MHWU

## Comments

Approved.

12/7/2022 9:53 AM
MELANIECMADDEN

## Comments

Uploaded amended Additional Information and Peer Comparison documents incorporating feedback from Academic Programs Subcommittee of Undergraduate Council.

## NEW ACADEMIC PROGRAM - MAJOR <br> Preliminary Proposal Form

I. Program Details
i. Name (and Degree Type) of Proposed Academic Program: BS Computer Science and Engineering (CSE)
ii. Emphases (if applicable): None
b. Academic Unit(s)/College(s): College of Engineering, 2303 - Electrical and Computer Engineering Dept
c. Campus/Location(s): All campuses (including Main, Online, and Distance campuses in Yuma and Chandler)
d. First Admission Term:
i. Fall 2023
e. Primary Contact and Email: Sharon ONeal sharononeal@arizona.edu
11. Executive Summary:

Develop a 120-unit ABET accredited Computer Science and Engineering (CSE) BS program with a planned Fall 2023 start date.

- The BS program will seek accreditation thru ABET's Computing Accreditation Commission (CAC) and/or the Engineering Accreditation Council (EAC).
- Provides an interdisciplinary engineering curriculum in closely related computing fields (computer science, software engineering, and computer engineering).
- Serve local, state, and national increasing needs in engineering computing talent related to economic development and national security.
a. Aligned with Arizona's New Economic Initiative
- Support and enable the University of Arizona's growth goals / initiatives.
a. Increase student enrollments
b. Increase research opportunities and collaborations
III. Brief Program Description:

The BS in Computer Science and Engineering provides a unique opportunity for students to deepen their knowledge of computer science and engineering topics by combining theory-based concepts with advanced, enabling computational techniques and technologies to create solutions that address the grand challenges of the $21^{\text {st }}$ century, and beyond.

The BS Computer Science and Engineering curriculum applies computer science theory and software development fundamentals to produce computing-based solutions. It includes substantial coverage of engineering principles applied to the design of large, networked, scalable computing systems. Competencies include algorithms and complexity, computer science theory, concepts of multiple programming languages, software development, and real-time, embedded and loT systems design and other engineering principles. The proposed CSE program offers distinct curriculum and learning outcomes for undergraduate students compared to other existing programs at the UA as the proposed program takes a holistic approach to coupling computing theory and applications with computer systems design and data science in a unified flow.

The program has a firm engineering foundation that is ABET CAC / EAC compliant and encompasses a discovery-based education utilizing an experiential learning approach. As a part of the curriculum, students complete projects in nearly every semester of the program that emphasize computing theory, communication, teamwork, critical thinking, and engineering professionalism. The program's flexibility allows students to design their course of study and select technical electives from a diverse pool of courses in software, computer science and computer engineering domains such as web and mobile applications, embedded systems, cybersecurity, machine learning, systems, and other interdisciplinary areas.

## Program Rationale:

Two of the College of Engineering's strategic pillars are:

1) Driving student success for a rapidly changing world, and
2) Tackling critical problems at the edges of human endeavor

The new BS Computer Science and Engineering degree plays a critical role in both pillars. The students graduating with a BS in Computer Science and Engineering will be well positioned to develop the skills and mindsets to be leaders in the areas of computing, machine learning, ever-increasing automation and connectivity, human and intelifigent systems, data science, and network sciences.

By offering competitive, relevant, and experiential-based learning to prospective students, the proposed program has the potential to build a strong pipeline for undergraduate and graduate education in Computer Science and Engineering. It will contribute to the much-needed workforce development to close the talent gap in computing and expand the ability to grow research programs that are attractive to forthcoming undergraduate and graduate students. All of which contribute to higher recruitment numbers and bringing additional revenue to the College and University. To support the proposed program, we will recruit faculty who can significantly impact computing areas of research and education. These faculty will pursue externally funded, competitive research to advance the state-of-the-art in applied computer science and engineering and integrate their research into the curricula. The broader impacts of these faculty will ultimately lead to a nationally recognized computer science and engineering program at the University of Arizona. It is also anticipated to catalyze collaboration and strengthen the existing electrical and computer engineering program and other engineering disciplines in the College of Engineering.

Another goal of offering the BS Computer Science and Engineering degree is to increase the number of female and other underrepresented students in the College of Engineering by leveraging Broaden Participation in Computing (BPC) - a national initiative by the Computing Research Association with support from the National Science Foundation (NSF) Directorate for Computer and Information Science and Engineering (CISE). Additional features and programs that contribute to enhancing student success and increasing diversity and inclusion will be included in the support infrastructure for the degree, aiming to foster academic cultures that are more inclusive of non-dominant identities and infuse policy-driven, identity-inclusive strategies throughout the entire program.
IV. Projected Enrollment for the First Five Years: The projected enrollment in the Computer Science and Engineering BS degree program across all campuses is shown in the table below (note that the projections are extended to a 5 -year period to be consistent with the extended financial analysis timeframe). The basis for these projections was derived by comparing enrollments at other AAU universities that have a dual Computer Science program in both their College of Engineering (or similar) and another college.

| Degree | Year 1 <br> $(2023 / 2024)$ | Year 2 <br> $(2024 / 2025)$ | Year 3 <br> $(2025 / 2026)$ | Year 4 <br> $(2026 / 2027)$ | Year 5 <br> $(2027 / 2028)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BS | 60 | 140 | 300 | 425 | 500 |

V. Evidence of Market Demand: The market demand for those trained in engineering computing disciplines is projected to have significant growth in both the near- and long-term futures. Specifically, the chart below shows the growth in computingrelated jobs up to 2021, as well as the projected growth through 2033, both regionally (Arizona, California, Nevada, New Mexico, Utah) and nationally. ${ }^{1}$


Note that computing-related job growth within our region is projected to grow at a faster pace than the nation as a whole. Thus, this new degree program will serve both local, state, and national needs related to employment, economic development, and national security. Indeed, these degree programs are among the most important in support of the ongoing fourth industrial revolution and in close alignment with Arizona's New Economy Initiative ${ }^{2}$.

The full marketing and analysis report for the state of Arizona can be found at the following link: https://arizona.box.com/s/k4d8ci657sqv6bban2yvi4gcf0paqi0e

[^0]The full marketing and analysis report for the nation can be found at the following link:
https://arizona.box.com/s/stizctd27mfeltaxsv2ylmgfa8zgsoco
VI. Similar Programs Offered at Arizona Public Universities:

| University | Program | College |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| University of Arizona | BS Software Engineering | College of Engineering |
| University of Arizona | BA and BS Computer Science | College of Science |
| University of Arizona | BS Computer Science <br> BAS Applied Computing | College of Applied Science and Technology |
| Arizona State University | BS Software Engineering | School of Computing and Augmented <br> Intelligence, IRA A Fulton Schools of <br> Engineering |
| Arizona State University | BS Computer Science | School of Computing and Augmented <br> Intelligence, IRA A Fulton Schools of <br> Engineering |
| Northern Arizona <br> University | BS Computer Science | School of Informatics, Computing, and <br> Cyber Systems |

VII. Resources
a. Summarize new resources required to offer the program time phased over the next 5 years:

| Resources | Quantity |
| :---: | :---: |
| Faculty | 13 |
| Staff | 2 |
| Other (TAs, Graders, LAs) (total \# Semester hires over 5 years) | 28 TAs (over 5 years) 30 Graders (over 5 years) 28 Lab Assistants (over 5 years) |
| Equipment | New research and lab equipment is included in the startup packages for new TT faculty |


| Facilities | Office and lab space <br> (for new faculty) |
| :--- | :---: |

b. Estimate total expected cost: $\$ 13,135,688$ (extrapolated over 5 years)
c. Estimate total expected revenue of the program: $\$ 12,076,989$ (extrapolated over 5 years)

## VIII. Required Signatures

a. Program-Difector/Main Proposer:
i. signature: $\{$ aeon
ii. Name and Title: Sharon ONeal, Director Software Engineering
iii. Date: $10 / 21 / 2022$
b. Managing Unit/Department Head:
i. Signature:

ii. Name and Title: Or Michael Wu, Electrical and Computer Engineering (ECE) Dept Head
iii. Date: 10/21/2022
c. College Dean/Associate Dean:
i. Signature:

ii. Name and Title: Dr David Hahn, Dean College of Engineering
iii. Date: 10/21/2022

## I. MAJOR REQUIREMENTS

| NDERGRADUATE |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total units required to complete the degree | 120 |
| Upper-division units required to complete the degree | 62 |
| Foundation courses |  |
| Second language | None required |
| Math | - MATH 122 A/B - Calculus I (5 units) <br> - MATH 129 - Calculus II (included in GenEd Foundations) (3 units) <br> - Math 243 - Discrete Math (3 units) <br> - SIE 305 - Introduction to Probability and Statistics (3 units) <br> - MATH Elective (Linear Algebra, Number Theory, Numerical Methods, or Vector Calculus) (3 units) |
| General education requirements | - UNIV 101 - Introduction to Gen-Ed Experience (1 unit) <br> - Foundations - ENGL 101 and ENGL 102 - English Composition I and II (6 units) <br> - Foundations - MATH 129 (3 units) <br> - Exploring Perspectives - Artist (3 units) <br> - Exploring Perspectives - Humanist (3 units) <br> - Exploring Perspectives - Social Scientist (3 units) <br> - Exploring Perspectives - Natural Scientist (3 units) <br> - Building Connections - (9 units) <br> - UNIV 301 - General Education Portfolio (1 unit) |
| Pre-major? (Yes/No). If yes, provide requirements. Provide email(s)/letter(s) of support from home department head(s) for courses not owned by your department. | Yes. Completion of 12 or more UA credits of coursework within the Engineering curricula may that include: <br> - MATH 122 A/B or MATH 125 - Calculus I <br> - MATH 129 - Calculus II <br> - ENGL 101 - English Composition I <br> - ENGR 102 - Intro to Engineering |

To be used once the preliminary proposal has been approved.

- CSE 101 - Programming I
- Natural Science (varying courses - see options that follow)
- The Natural Science courses that are acceptable include (the major requires at least 1 Natural Science class with a laboratory. The lab component can either be at the $1 x x$ or $2 x x$ level):
- CHEM 151
- CHEM 161/163
- CHEM 152
- MSE 110
- MCB 181R/181L
- PHYS 141

All students are enrolled as Engineering, No Major Selected until they have completed the following:

- Calculus I with a grade of C or better
- 12 or more UA credits of coursework within the Engineering curriculum (shown above)
Admissions GPA of 2.0 or higher
New first-year students CAN be admitted into the degree program, prior to enrolling at the University of Arizona. A student's eligibility is conveyed to admitted students by the ENGR Academic Affairs Office, if/when a student is also admitted to Honors College.

| Major requirements |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Minimum \# of units required in the major (units <br> counting towards major units and major GPA) | 55 |
| Minimum \# of upper-division units required in the <br> major (upper division units counting towards <br> major GPA) | 41 units |
| Minimum \# of residency units to be completed in <br> the major | 30 |
| Required supporting coursework (courses that do <br> not count towards major units and major GPA, <br> but are required for the major). | MATH 122 A/B Calculus I (5 units) <br> MATH 129 Calculus II (3 units) <br> MATH 243 Discrete Math (3 units) |

ACADEMIC PROGRAM - ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FORM
THE UNIVERSITY
of Arizona
To be used once the preliminary proposal has been approved.

|  | 200-level Natural Science (3-4 units) (Options include: PHYS 241, CHEM 257A, or MSE 225 Note: the major requires at least 1 Natural Science class with a laboratory. The lab component can either be at the $1 x x$ or the $2 x x$ level.) <br> MATH Elective (3 units) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Major requirements. List all major requirements including core and electives. If applicable, list the emphasis requirements for each proposed emphasis*. Courses listed count towards major units and major GPA. Courses listed must include prefix, number, units, and title. Mark new coursework (New). Include any limits/restrictions needed (house number limit, etc.). Provide email(s)/letter(s) of support from home department head(s) for courses not owned by your department. | Major Core (46 units) <br> ENGR 102 A/B - Introduction to Engineering (3 units) <br> CSE 101 - Programming I (4 units) NEW <br> CSE 201 - Programming II (3 units) NEW <br> ECE 274A - Digital Logic (4 units) <br> CSE 301 Data Management (3 units) NEW <br> SIE 305 - Engineering Probability and Statistics (3 units) <br> CSE 302 - Theory of Computation (3 units) NEW <br> CSE 303 - Fundamentals of Computer Architecture (3 units) NEW <br> ECE 311 - Engineering Ethics (1 unit) <br> SFWE 302 - Software Architecture and Design (3 units) <br> CSC 355 - Data Structures and Algorithms (3 units) <br> SFWE 402 - Software DevSecOps (4 units) <br> CSE 401 - Operating System Design (3 units) NEW <br> ENGR 498A - Interdisciplinary Capstone (3 units) <br> ENGR 498B - Interdisciplinary Capstone (3 units) <br> Technical Electives (9 units) <br> Select 9 units of UD computing technical electives from other Engineering courses (i.e. ECE, SIE, or other applicable engineering courses), CSC or ISOC. See major advisor for course approval. <br> A preliminary list of acceptable UD technical computing electives include: <br> - ECE 330B Computational Techniques <br> - ECE 373 Object-Oriented Software Design <br> - ECE 413 Web Development and Internet of Things <br> - ECE 466 Knowledge System Engineering <br> - SFWE 301 Software Requirements Analysis and Test <br> - SFWE 401 Software Assurance |

To be used once the preliminary proposal has been approved.

- SFWE 403 Software Project Management
- SIE 370 Embedded Computer Systems
- SIE 431 Simulation Modeling and Analysis

| Internship, practicum, applied course |
| :--- |
| requirements (Yes/No). If yes, provide description. |
| Senior thesis or senior project required (Yes/No). <br> If yes, provide description. |
| Additional requirements (provide description) |
| Minor (specify if optional or required) |
| Any double-dipping restrictions (Yes/No)? If yes, <br> provide description. |

Complete 6 units:

ENGR 498 A and ENGR 498B (Interdisciplinary Capstone in Senior year)
Yes. Interdisciplinary Design project that is part of ENGR 498 A/B.

None

Optional. There are 18 units of General Electives that can count toward a minor if the student so desires.
No

ACADEMIC PROGRAM - ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FORM
THE UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
II. CURRENT COURSES

| Course prefix and number (include crosslistings) | Units | Title | Pre-requisites | Modes of delivery (online, inperson, hybrid) | Typically Offered ( $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{Sp}, \mathrm{Su}$ ) | Dept signed party to proposal? (Yes/No) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNIV 101 | 1 | Intro to the Gen-Ed Experience | None | online, in-person | F, Sp, Su | N/A |
| ENGL 101 | 3 | Freshman Composition I | None | online, in-person | F, Sp, Su | N/A |
| ENGL 102 | 3 | Freshman Composition II | None | online, in-person | F, Sp, Su | N/A |
| Student <br> Selected | 3 | Exploring Perspectives - Artist | Gen Ed (varying) | online, in-person | F, Sp, Su | N/A |
| Student <br> Selected | 3 | Exploring Perspectives - Humanist | Gen Ed (varying) | online, in-person | F, Sp, Su | N/A |
| Student <br> Selected | 3 | Exploring Perspectives - Social Scientist | Gen Ed (varying) | online, in-person | F, Sp, Su | N/A |
| Student <br> Selected | 3 | Exploring Perspectives - Natural Scientist | Gen Ed (varying) | online, in-person | F, Sp, Su | N/A |
| Student <br> Selected | 9 | Building Connections - (3 courses) | Gen Ed (varying) | online, in-person | F, Sp, Su | N/A |
| UNIV 301 |  | UNIV 301 - General Education Portfolio (1 unit) | None | online, in-person | F, Sp, Su | N/A |
| MATH 122 A/B | 5 | Calculus I | MATH 120R or PPL 75 | online, in-person | F, Sp, Su | Yes |
| MATH 129 | 3 | Calculus II | MATH 122B or MATH 125 | online, in-person | F, Sp, Su | Yes |
| MATH 243 | 3 | Discrete Math | MATH 129 | online, in-person | F, Sp, Su | Yes |

ACADEMIC PROGRAM - ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FORM
of Arizona
To be used once the preliminary proposal has been approved.

| Student <br> Selected | 4 | Exploring Perspectives - Natural Scientist w/Lab | Varying/Placement | online, in-person | F, Sp, Su | N/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENGR 102 | 3 | Introduction to Engineering | MATH 112 or PPL 60 | online, in-person | F, Sp | N/A |
| ECE 274A | 4 | Digital Logic | ECE 175 (or CSE 101) | online, in-person | F, Sp | N/A |
| ECE 311 | 1 | Engineering Ethics | None | online, in-person | Contact Dept | N/A |
| CSC 355 | 3 | Algorithm Design and Analysis | MATH 243 and CSE 201 | online, in-person | F | Yes |
| SIE 305 | 3 | Introduction to Probability / Statistics | MATH 129 | online, in-person | F, Sp, Su | Yes |
| MATH 313 or MATH 315 (or other MATH courses as approved by department) | 3 | Introduction to Linear Algebra or Introduction to Number Theory and Modern Algebra | Varying | online, in-person | F, Sp, Su F, Sp | Yes |
| SFWE 302 | 3 | Software Architecture and Design | ECE 275 (or CSC 202) | online, in-person | Sp | Yes |
| SFWE 402 | 4 | Software DevSecOps | ECE 275 (or CSE 201) and SFWE 302 | online, in-person | F | Yes |
| Student <br> Selected | 9 | Upper Division Computing Electives (varying) | Varying | online, in-person | F, Sp | N/A |
| Student <br> Selected | 18 | General Electives (varying) | Varying | online, in-person | F, Sp | N/A |
| ENGR 498 A/B | 6 | Interdisciplinary Capstone | Senior Status | online, in-person | F, Sp | N/A |

III. NEW COURSES NEEDED

| Course prefix and number (include crosslistings) | Units | Title | Prerequisites | Modes of delivery (online, inperson, hybrid) | Status* | Anticipated first term offered | Typically Offered (F, W, $\mathrm{Sp}, \mathrm{Su}$ ) | Dept signed party to proposal? (Yes/No) | Faculty members available to teach the courses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CSE 101 | 4 | Programming I | MATH 112 <br> (Co- <br> requisite) | online, in-person | D | Spring 2024 | F, Sp | Yes | TBR (potentially new faculty) |
| CSE 201 | 3 | Programming II | CSE 101 | online, in-person | D | Fall 2024 | F, Sp | Yes | TBR (potentially new faculty) |
| CSE 301 | 3 | Data Management | CSE 201 | online, in-person | D | Spring 2025 | Sp | Yes | TBR (potentially new faculty) |
| CSE 302 | 3 | Theory of Computation | Math 243 | online, in-person | D | Fall 2025 | F | Yes | TBR (potentially new faculty) |
| CSE 303 | 3 | Fundamentals of Computer Architecture | ECE 274A | online, in-person | D | Fall 2025 | F | Yes | TBR (potentially new faculty) |
| CSE 401 | 3 | Operating System Design | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CSE } 201 \\ & \text { and CSE } \\ & 303 \end{aligned}$ | online, in-person | D | Spring 2026 | Sp | Yes | TBR (potentially new faculty) |

*In development (D); submitted for approval (S); approved (A)
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IV. FACULTY INFORMATION-

| Faculty Member | Involvement | UA Vitae link or Box folder link |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dr Mohammad Abu Matar | Teach SFWE 302 | $\underline{\text { https://arizona.box.com/s/7trdpezytljufs2b1bn8fzgawtq8k46g }}$ |
| Dr Diana Saldana | Teach CSC 355 | https://profiles.arizona.edu/person/dianasaldana |
| Dr Larry Head | Teach ENGR 498 A/B | https://profiles.arizona.edu/person/klhead |
| Sharon ONeal | Teach SFWE 402 Software DevSecOps | https://profiles.arizona.edu/person/sharononeal |
| Dr Umar Amjad | Teach ENGR 102 | $\underline{\text { https://arizona.box.com/s/i8vkk0nswc3cqo76bp3i73qost5xishl }}$ |
| Dr Ratchaneekorn Thamvichai | Teach 274A | https://profiles.arizona.edu/person/rthamvichai |
| Richard Scholes | Teach ECE 311 | https://arizona.box.com/s/x1v3dd0cgjnrmm5yfr8cwe1f6ptep916 |
| Don Bruyere | Teach SIE 305 | https://profiles.arizona.edu/person/dbruyere |
| Dr Jerzy Rozenblit | Conduct/collaborate in CSE related research | https://profiles.arizona.edu/person/jerzyr |
| Dr Marwan Krunz | Conduct/collaborate in CSE related research | $\underline{\text { https://profiles.arizona.edu/person/krunz }}$ |
| Dr Ming Li | Conduct/collaborate in CSE related research | $\underline{\text { https://profiles.arizona.edu/person/lim }}$ |
| Dr Michael Wu | Conduct/collaborate in CSE related research | https://arizona.box.com/s/zktwrqsna7r7f53bcubhe4b82m7w9mkd |
| Dr Salim Hariri | Conduct/collaborate in CSE related research | Salim A Hariri UA Profiles (arizona.edu) |
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V.

GRADUATION PLAN

| Semester 1 |  | Semester 2 |  | Semester 3 |  | Semester 4 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Course prefix and <br> number | Units | Course prefix and <br> number | Units | Course prefix and <br> number | Units | Course prefix and <br> number | Units |
| ENGL 101 | 3 | ENGL 102 | 3 | MATH 243 | 3 | SIE 305 | 3 |
| ENGR 102 | 3 | MATH 129 | 3 | CSE 201 | 3 | CSE 301 | 3 |
| MATH 122 A/B | 5 | CSE 101 | 4 | ECE 274A | 4 | CSC 355 | 3 |
| Gen-Ed (Expl Persp <br> (EP) Artist) | 3 | Science Natural <br> W/Lab (see list <br> classes in section I) | 4 | Gen-Ed (EP <br> Humanist) | 3 | SFWE 302 | 3 |
| UNIV 101 | 1 |  | Gen-Ed (EP Social <br> Scientist) | 3 | MATH 313 or MATH <br> 315 | 3 |  |
| Total | 15 | Total | 14 | Total | 16 | Total | 15 |


| Semester 5 |  | Semester 6 |  | Semester 7 |  | Semester 8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course prefix and number | Units | Course prefix and number | Units | Course prefix and number | Units | Course prefix and number | Units |
| CSE 302 | 3 | CSE 401 | 3 | ENGR 498A | 3 | ENGR 498B | 3 |
| CSE 303 | 3 | UD Computing Elective 1 | 3 | SFWE 402 | 4 | UD Computing Elective 3 | 3 |
| General Elective 1 | 3 | General Elective 3 | 3 | UD Computing Elective 2 | 3 | General Elective 5 | 3 |
| General Elective 2 | 3 | General Elective 4 | 3 | UNIV 301 | 1 | General Elective 6 | 3 |
| Gen-Ed (EP Natural Scientist) (see list classes in section I) | 3 | Gen-Ed (Building Connections) | 3 | Gen-Ed (Building Connections) | 3 | Gen-Ed (Building Connections) | 3 |
|  |  | ECE 311 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 15 | Total | 16 | Total | 14 | Total | 15 |

## Program: BS Computer Science and Engineering

## Learning Outcome \#1: Analyze a complex computing problem and to apply principles of computing and other relevant disciplines to identify solutions.

Concepts: Students will apply knowledge of various programming languages, algorithms, and computational elements to satisfy complex computing problems. Students will take courses in computer programming in multiple languages, digital logic, probability and statistics, data structures and algorithms, data collection, organization and management, theory of computation and operating system design.
Competencies: Students will demonstrate knowledge of the design, implementation, and test/analysis of computing solutions. Throughout their coursework, students will learn and use at least 2 different programming languages, understand digital logic and fundamental computer architectures, learn to apply probability and statistics to engineering applications, and apply computing theory and algorithms to analyze and develop diverse computing solutions.
Assessment Methods: This outcome will be assessed in specifically designated homework, exams, papers, or student projects. A rubric will be created for each new CSE course that identifies Criteria, Measures of Assessment, and an Achievement level rating for the different criteria/categories evaluated. The Achievement levels will include: "Exemplary", "Satisfactory", "Developing", and "Unsatisfactory". Rubrics already exist for existing engineering courses. For new courses developed in the curriculum, a plan for identifying the courses of evidence and assessment measures will be developed as the courses are developed. At the end of every semester, a team comprised of the course instructor and the ECE UGCs, will score the rubric using the measures of assessment identified for the course. A Root Cause and Corrective action plan will be developed for any course that scores "Developing" or below. Assessment results are documented and formally maintained in a controlled location at the end of each semester and will be published at the UArizona Assessment website. The scores will be tracked over time to facilitate the Continuous Improvement and corrective action plans remain effective from semester to semester, year to year.
Measures: The currently defined measures for the following existing courses will be used: ECE 274A, SIE 305, CSC 355 and ENGR 498A/B. Specific sources of evidence (homework, exams, student projects) and measures will be identified in each of the following new courses and used in a rubric based assessment: CSE 101, CSE 201, CSE 301, CSE 302, and CSE 401. For each rubric category, a rating will be given commensurate with the rubric criteria rating scheme. A student self-assessment survey will also be used for indirect measures of the outcome.

Learning Outcome \#2: Design, implement, and evaluate a computing-based solution to meet a given set of computing requirements in the context of the program's discipline.

Concepts: Students will apply knowledge of various programming languages, algorithms, and computational elements to satisfy specified requirements. They will use various modeling techniques such as the Unified Modeling Language (UML) and other object-
oriented concepts to design solutions that meet specified requirements. Students will implement their designs in an appropriate programming language and evaluate/verify whether the implemented code adequately meets the functional and nonfunctional requirements specified.
Competencies: Students will learn modern design / modeling techniques used to capture and evaluate the design of a computing solution. Students will also develop unique designs that meet specified requirements. Additionally, students will evaluate and verify that the specified requirements have been satisfied in the implementation of the product design.
Assessment Methods: This outcome will be assessed in specifically designated homework, exams, papers, or student projects. A rubric will be created for each new CSE course that identifies Criteria, Measures of Assessment, and an Achievement level rating for the different criteria/categories evaluated. The Achievement levels will include: "Exemplary", "Satisfactory", "Developing", and "Unsatisfactory". Rubrics already exist for existing engineering courses. For new courses developed in the curriculum, a plan for identifying the courses of evidence and assessment measures will be developed as the courses are developed. At the end of every semester, a team comprised of the course instructor and the ECE UGCs, will score the rubric using the measures of assessment identified for the course. A Root Cause and Corrective action plan will be developed for any course that scores "Developing" or below. Assessment results are documented and formally maintained in a controlled location at the end of each semester and will be published at the UArizona Assessment website. The scores will be tracked over time to facilitate the Continuous Improvement and corrective action plans remain effective from semester to semester, year to year.
Measures: The currently defined measures for following existing courses will be used: SFWE 302. Specific sources of evidence (homework, exams, student projects) and measures will be identified in each of the following new courses and used in a rubric based assessment: CSE 201, CSE 301, CSE 302, CSE 303, and CSE 401. For each rubric category, a rating will be given commensurate with the rubric criteria rating scheme. A student self-assessment survey will also be used for indirect measures of the outcome.

## Learning Outcome \#3: Communicate effectively in a variety of professional contexts.

Concepts: Students will apply different communication strategies to share engineering principles and solutions with a wide variety of audiences. They will utilize their writing skills gained in English composition courses to develop technical specifications, documents, and presentations related to the computing-based coursework and projects that are shared in written and presentation-style formats with a variety of audiences including other students, faculty, and industry representatives for sponsored capstone projects.
Competencies: Students will demonstrate their ability to communicate engineering and computing-based solutions via written reports, presentations, and interactions with team members and other stakeholders.
Assessment Methods: This outcome will be assessed in specifically designated homework, exams, papers, or student projects. A rubric will be created for each new CSE course that identifies Criteria, Measures of Assessment, and an Achievement level rating for the different criteria/categories evaluated. The Achievement levels will include: "Exemplary", "Satisfactory", "Developing", and "Unsatisfactory". Rubrics already exist for existing engineering courses. For new courses developed in the curriculum, a plan for identifying the courses of evidence and assessment measures will be developed as the courses are developed. At the end of every semester, a team comprised of the course instructor and the ECE UGCs, will score the rubric using the measures of assessment identified for the course. A Root Cause and Corrective action plan will be developed for any course that scores "Developing" or
below. Assessment results are documented and formally maintained in a controlled location at the end of each semester and will be published at the UArizona Assessment website. The scores will be tracked over time to facilitate the Continuous Improvement and corrective action plans remain effective from semester to semester, year to year.
Measures: The currently defined measures for following existing courses will be used: SFWE 302 and ENGR 498A/B. (Additional measures may be used as new courses are developed that have significant projects requiring students to document and communicate their technical solutions to computing based problems.) For each rubric category, a rating will be given commensurate with the rubric criteria rating scheme. A student self-assessment survey will also be used for indirect measures of the outcome.

## Learning Outcome \#4: Recognize professional responsibilities and make informed judgments in computing practice based on legal and ethical

 principles.Concepts: Students will effectively utilize knowledge of legal and ethical standards and guidelines used in computing practices. They will apply their knowledge throughout the development of their capstone project as they complete trades related to their design solutions. Students will likely utilize/integrate commercially, and potentially proprietary elements provided by project sponsors in their capstone projects. Students complete a 1-unit course in engineering ethics that consists of various case studies in ethical aspects involved with engineering and using computing-based solutions/products.
Competencies: Students will demonstrate their ability to understand and utilize legal and ethical practices followed in computing professions. They will understand concepts such as intellectual property, the safety, health, and welfare of the public, academic and professional integrity, and other similar topics related to engineering ethics.
Assessment Methods: This outcome will be assessed in specifically designated homework, exams, papers, or student projects. A rubric will be created for each new CSE course that identifies Criteria, Measures of Assessment, and an Achievement level rating for the different criteria/categories evaluated. The Achievement levels will include: "Exemplary", "Satisfactory", "Developing", and "Unsatisfactory". Rubrics already exist for existing engineering courses. For new courses developed in the curriculum, a plan for identifying the courses of evidence and assessment measures will be developed as the courses are developed. At the end of every semester, a team comprised of the course instructor and the ECE UGCs, will score the rubric using the measures of assessment identified for the course. A Root Cause and Corrective action plan will be developed for any course that scores "Developing" or below. Assessment results are documented and formally maintained in a controlled location at the end of each semester and will be published at the UArizona Assessment website. The scores will be tracked over time to facilitate the Continuous Improvement and corrective action plans remain effective from semester to semester, year to year.
Measures: The currently defined measures for following existing courses will be used: ECE 311 and ENGR 498A/B. (Additional measures may be used as new courses are developed that have significant projects requiring students to employ ethical practices as they develop technical solutions to computing based problems.) For each rubric category, a rating will be given commensurate with the rubric criteria rating scheme. A student self-assessment survey will also be used for indirect measures of the outcome.

## Learning Outcome \#5: Function effectively as a member or leader of a team engaged in activities appropriate to the program's discipline.

Concepts: Students will utilize teaming, communication, and collaboration skills to develop large scale and complex computing solutions/products. Students will learn how to break a large project into smaller segments/subsystems/tasks that are allocated and
performed across teams which have at least 4+ team members. Students will use a learn and use a variety of communication strategies that allow them to share their own progress on tasks and also contribute to peer tasking to achieve common goals. Students will also learn fundamental leadership strategies used to coordinate and facilitate teamwork, resolving conflict with peers and others, collecting and reporting status to others, and keeping the team focused on achieving their commitments.
Competencies: Students will develop skills that allow them to plan, communicate status, perform tasking required to meet project milestones, resolve conflict, and work as a team to achieve goals and deliver computing products.
Assessment Methods: This outcome will predominately be assessed in peer / team evaluations that are provided throughout the 2 semesters of ENGR 498A and ENGR 498B. The evaluations are required of all team members working on the interdisciplinary capstone project after each interim project deliverable or review is submitted/conducted (approximately 3 times per semester). The evaluation requires each team member to evaluate the other team members contributions to the project at each major milestone. Team members are evaluated based on their overall technical contributions, ability to work with the team, amount of effort continued to the project, and dependability. At the completion of the capstone project, the project's sponsor also completes an evaluation of each team members contributions and performance on the project throughout the 2 semesters. The course instructor also provides an assessment of how each team member and team leaders worked together throughout the semester on achieving the project goals and implementation.
Measures: Instructor grading of the ENGR 498 A/B team and project sponsor evaluations submitted by each student and the project sponsors. (Additional measures may be used as new courses are developed that have significant projects requiring students to work in teams to develop technical solutions to computing based problems.) A student self-assessment survey will also be used for indirect measures of the outcome
Learning Outcome \#6: Apply computer science theory and software development fundamentals to produce computing-based solutions. [CS] Concepts: Students will utilize their acquired mathematical, automation, and computation theory knowledge to analyze and develop computing-based solutions to meet a given problem statement. They will demonstrate their ability to analyze complex problems to determine whether solutions can be automated, evaluate resources required to implement / automate the problem as a function of the size and complexity of the anticipated input stimuli and other key parameters, and develop optimal implementations to address a given problem statement.
Competencies: Students will use mathematical analysis and computer theory to evaluate the complexities and magnitude of a given problem space, evaluate different approaches and designs that could be used to implement computing-based solutions to said problems, and implement the solutions developed.
Assessment Methods: This outcome will be assessed in specifically designated homework, exams, papers, or student projects. A rubric will be created for each new CSE course that identifies Criteria, Measures of Assessment, and an Achievement level rating for the different criteria/categories evaluated. The Achievement levels will include: "Exemplary", "Satisfactory", "Developing", and "Unsatisfactory". Rubrics already exist for existing engineering courses. For new courses developed in the curriculum, a plan for identifying the courses of evidence and assessment measures will be developed as the courses are developed. At the end of every semester, a team comprised of the course instructor and the ECE UGCs, will score the rubric using the measures of assessment
identified for the course. A Root Cause and Corrective action plan will be developed for any course that scores "Developing" or below. Assessment results are documented and formally maintained in a controlled location at the end of each semester and will be published at the UArizona Assessment website. The scores will be tracked over time to facilitate the Continuous Improvement and corrective action plans remain effective from semester to semester, year to year.
Measures: The currently defined measures for following existing courses will be used: ECE 274A, SFWE 302 and ENGR 498A/B. Specific sources of evidence (homework, exams, student projects) and measures will be identified in each of the following new courses and used in a rubric based assessment: CSE 302 and CSE 401. For each rubric category, a rating will be given commensurate with the rubric criteria rating scheme. A student self-assessment survey will also be used for indirect measures of the outcome.

The Taskstream Curriculum Map is shown below:

| 9/29/22, 11:15 AM | Curriculum Map - Courses and Activities Mapped to BS Computer Science \& Engineering |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| University of Arizona AMS - Sandooxes Ingrid Novodvorsky Playspace |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BS Computer Science and Engineering Courses and Activities Mapped to BS Computer Science \& Engineering |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Outcome |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Outcome t: Analysis Anayze a complex computing problem and to apply principles of relevantd isciplines to identity solutions. | Outcome 2: Design Implementation \& Evaluation <br> Desgn, implement and evaluate a computing-based solution to meet a given set of computing requirements in the context of the program's discipline. | Outcome 3: Communicaton communicate effectively in a variety of professional comtexts | Outcome 4: Ethics Recognize professiona responstirites and make amputing practice based on legal and ethical principles. | Outcome 5. Teamwork Function effectinely as a member or leader of a team engaged in activites appropriate to the program's discipline. | Outcome 6: Application <br> Apply computer science theoryand software development fundamentals to produce computing-based solutions. |
| Courses and Learning Activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ENGL 101 Freshman Composition |  |  | P/A |  |  |  |
| ENGL 102 <br> Freshman Composition |  |  | P/A |  |  |  |
| MATH 122 A/B Calculus I | I/A |  |  |  |  | I/A |
| MATH 129 Calculus II | P/A |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic Science Including Lab | 1/A |  |  |  |  |  |
| ENGR 102 Intro to Engineering |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |
| CSE 101 Programming I | IPA |  |  |  |  |  |
| MATH 243 <br> Discrete Math | P/A |  |  |  |  |  |
| CSE 201 Programming II | P/A | P/A |  |  |  |  |
| ECE 274A Digital Logic | P/A |  |  |  | P/A | P/A |
| CSE 301 Data Management | P/A | P/A |  |  |  |  |
| CSE 302 Theory of Computation | P/A | P/A |  |  |  | IPA |
| CSE 303 Fund. of Computer Architecture |  | P/A |  |  |  |  |
| ECE 311 Engineering Ethics |  |  | 1/A | 1/A |  |  |
| SIE 305 Probability \& Statistics | P/A | P/A |  |  |  |  |
| SFWE 302 <br> Software Architecture \& Design |  | P/A | P/A |  | P/A | P/A |
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## VII. PROGRAM ASSESSMENT PLAN

| Assessment Measure | Source(s) of Evidence | Data Collection Point(s) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Student Academic Advising Report (SAAR) | Online record keeping database to which <br> the student and advisor have access. | At the end of an academic year at a <br> minimum |
| ABET Academic Program Review | ABET Program Evaluators responses | Every 6 years |
| Rubrics for existing CSE courses used to <br> assess each student outcome that <br> identifies criteria, measure of assessment, <br> and an achievement level rating (i.e., <br> Exemplary, Satisfactory, Developing, <br> Unsatisfactory). | Class assignments <br> Exams <br> Course Projects <br> Course Reports <br> Other forms of student work tailored to any <br> specific course) | End of each semester the specific courses <br> are taught |
| Rubrics for all newCSE courses used to <br> assess each student outcome that <br> identifies criteria, measure of assessment, <br> and an achievement level rating (i.e., <br> Exemplary, Satisfactory, Developing, <br> Unsatisfactory). | Class assignments <br> Exams <br> Course Projects <br> Course Reports <br> Other forms of student work tailored to any <br> specific course) | End of each semester the specific courses <br> are taught |
| Root cause and corrective action plans | Results of individual course rubric <br> assessments | End of each semester the specific courses <br> are taught |
| Senior exit survey (used for indirect <br> measures of outcomes). | Student survey | At student graduation |

To be used once the preliminary proposal has been approved.

| Continuous improvement opportunities <br> (recommended by the Undergraduate <br> Committee (UGC)) | Assessment Data | At the end of an academic year |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Industry needs assessment | Required Curriculum | Every 4 years |
| CSE educational objectives | Course / Program Objectives | Annually prior to faculty retreat |
| Senior degree check | Review of student degree requirements | Semester prior to graduation |

VIII. ANTICIPATED STUDENT ENROLLMENT-complete the table below. What concrete evidence/data was used to arrive at the numbers?

| 5-YEAR PROJECTED ANNUAL ENROLLMENT |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1^{\text {st }}$ Year | $2^{\text {nd }}$ Year | $3^{\text {rd }}$ Year | $4^{\text {th }}$ Year | $5^{\text {th }}$ Year |
| Number of <br> Students | 60 | 140 | 300 | 425 | 500 |

Data/evidence used to determine projected enrollment numbers:

Several AAU universities with dual Computer Science BS programs in either the College of Engineering (or equivalent) and another university were canvassed for program enrollment. The table below shows the total enrollment in several of these programs ${ }^{1}$ :
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Summary of AAU Universities with Dual Computer Science Degree Programs
in Different Colleges (including Engineering)

| University | College | Degree <br> Type | Degree Name | Total Enrollment (2020)** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| University of California - Berkeley | College of Engineering | BS | Electrical Engineering and Computer Science (EECS) | 1519 |
|  | College of Letters and Science | BA | Computer Science | 1465 |
| University of California - Davis | College of Engineering | BS | Computer Science and Engineering | 349 |
|  | College of Letters and Science | BS | Computer Science | 931 |
| University of Florida | College of Engineering | BS | Computer Science (CSE) | 758 |
|  | College of Liberal Arts and Sciences | BS | Computer Science (CSC) | 464 |
| University of Illinois -Urbana-Champaign | Grainger College of Engineering | BS | Computer Science | 2001 |
|  | Grainger College of Engineering | BS | Mathematics and Computer Science | UNK |
|  | Grainger College of Engineering | BS | Statistics and Computer Science | UNK |
|  | College of Liberal Arts and Sciences | BS | CS + X Programs (where $\mathrm{X}=$ several different disciplines) | UNK |
| University of lowa | College of Liberal Arts and Sciences | BA | Computer Science | UNK |
|  | College of Liberal Arts and Sciences | BS | Computer Science | UNK |
|  | Dual Program: CLAS \& Engineering | BSE | Computer Science and Engineering | UNK |
| University of Michigan | College of Engineering | BSE | Data Science | 100 |
|  | College of Engineering | BSE | Computer Science | 1261 |
|  | College of Literature, Science and the Arts | BS | Computer Science | 542 |
| The Ohio State University | College of Engineering | BS | Computer Science and Engineering | 1754 |
|  | College of Engineering | BA | Computer and Information Science | 617 |
|  | College of Engineering | BS | Computer and Information Science |  |
| University of Minnesota - Twin Cities | College of Science and Engineering | BS | Computer Science | 1104 |
|  | College of Liberal Arts and Sciences | BA | Computer Science | UNK |

IX. ANTICIPATED DEGREES AWARDED.

| PROJECTED DEGREES AWARDED ANNUALLY |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1^{\text {st }}$ Year | $2^{\text {nd }}$ Year | $3^{\text {rd }}$ Year | $4^{\text {th }}$ Year | $5^{\text {th }}$ Year |
| Number of <br> Degrees | 0 | 40 | 60 | 100 | 125 |
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Data/evidence used to determine number of anticipated degrees awarded annually:

These estimates are based on the projected total enrollments over the first 5 years of the program, which includes potential student transfers into the program in the earlier years of the program. For example, it is anticipated that some students in the $1^{\text {st }}$ year of the program will transfer from other majors, while continuing to add new students that enroll in the program as freshman. Students are expected to graduate as soon as the third year of the program, based on other similar programs that were recently started at UArizona (i.e., BS Software Engineering).

Additionally, an analysis of other AAU university graduation rates in similar programs was performed as defined in the National Center for Education Statistics ${ }^{2}$. We also looked at the degrees awarded published on the ASEE Profile of Engineering Technology ${ }^{3}$ website for computer science programs specifically awarded within engineering. In particular, the AAU universities considered are shown in the table below:

| AAU University | Number of BS Awards <br> Conferred in 2020-2021 <br> (NCES) | Number of BS Awards <br> Conferred in 2020 <br> (ASEE) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| University of California - Berkeley | 696 | 440 (includes EE \& CS <br> counts) |
| University of California - Davis | 329 | 64 |
| University of Florida | 308 | 180 |
| University of Illinois - Urbana <br> Champaign | 381 | 508 |
| University of Iowa | 138 | Unknown |
| University of Michigan | 1142 | 524 |
| The Ohio State University - Main <br> Campus | 113 | 421 |
| University of Minnesota - Twin Cities | 689 | 329 |

X. PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT TIMELINE
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The BS CSE program utilizes several existing courses within the College of Engineering, Department of Mathematics, and other colleges/schools (i.e., Gen-Eds, natural sciences, general electives).

The table below shows the preliminary plan for the new BS Computer Science and Engineering course development required for the program. We will work closely with UA's University Center for Assessment, Teaching and Technology (UCATT) and UA Online to execute the plan shown in the table below.

| Course Number / Name | Planned Development <br> Timeframe | First Semester Offered |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| CSE 101 - Programming I | Fall 2023 | Spring 2024 |
| CSE 201 - Programming II | Spring 2024 | Fall 2024 |
| CSE 301 - Data Management | Fall 2024 | Spring 2025 |
| CSE 302 - Theory of Computation | Spring 2024 | Fall 2025 |
| CSE 303 - Fundamentals of Computer Architecture | Spring 2024 | Fall 2025 |
| CSE 401 - Operating Systems | Fall 2025 | Spring 2026 |

We will work closely with the recruitment and marketing teams within the College of Engineering to market the program as soon as the degree program is approved by ABOR. Additionally, we will also work with Arizona Online and Distance learning to market the program through their marketing channels.
IX. Program Fees and Differential Tuition (PFDT) Request - For implementation of fees, you must work with University Fees. The annual deadline is December 1. For any questions, please contact the University Fees Program Manager.

We are currently not proposing that there be program fees associated with any new courses or the program at large.
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Appendix A. Minor or Master's Requirements. Complete if requesting a corresponding minor/master’s.
MINOR:

| Minimum total units required | 19 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Minimum upper-division units required | 12 |
| Total transfer units that may apply to the minor | 6 |
| List any special requirements to declare/admission to this minor (completion of specific coursework, minimum GPA, interview, application, etc.) | - Meet with academic advisor and obtain permission to declare minor <br> - Complete all pre-requisite coursework |
| Minor requirements. List all minor requirements including core and electives. Courses listed must include course prefix, number, units, and title. Mark new coursework (New). Include any limits/restrictions needed (house number limit, etc.). Provide email(s)/letter(s) of support from home department head(s) for courses not owned by your department. | - CSE 101 Programming I (4 units) (New) <br> - (New) CSE 201 Programming II (3 units) <br> - CSC 355 Algorithm Design and Analysis (3 units) <br> - CSE 302 Theory of Computation (3 units) (New) <br> - Choose 2 electives from the following courses (minimum 6 units): <br> - CSE 301 Data Management (3 units) (New) <br> - CSE 303 Fundamentals of Computer Architecture (3 units) (New) <br> - SFWE 302 Software Design Process (3 units) <br> - SFWE 402 Software DevSecOps (4 units) <br> - UD Computing Elective (3 units) (consult with advisor) (See preliminary list below) <br> A preliminary list of acceptable UD Computing Electives include: <br> - ECE 330B Computational Techniques <br> - ECE 373 Object-Oriented Software Design <br> - ECE 413 Web Development and Internet of Things <br> - ECE 466 Knowledge System Engineering |
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|  |  | - SFWE 301 Software Requirements Analysis and Test <br> - SFWE 401 Software Assurance <br> - SFWE 403 Software Project Management <br> - SIE 370 Embedded Computer Systems <br> - SIE 431 Simulation Modeling and Analysis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Internship, practicum, applied course requirements (Yes/No). If yes, provide description. | None |  |
| Additional requirements (provide description) | None |  |
| Any double-dipping restrictions (Yes/No)? If yes, provide description. | No |  |
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## MASTER'S:

A separate proposal is being submitted for a MS and PhD in Computer Science and Engineering.

Appendix B. Emphasis Print Information-if applicable, complete the table below to indicate if proposed emphases should be printed on transcript and diploma. Add rows as needed. Note: emphases are displayed on transcript and diplomas as " $\qquad$ Emphasis".

| Emphasis | Print on transcript | Print on diploma |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Not Applicable | N/A | N/A |

## Request to Establish New Academic Program in Arizona

Please complete all fields. Boxes may be expanded to accommodate longer responses. Clarifying field descriptions can be found below. Should you have any questions or concerns, please email Helen Baxendale, Director of Academic Affairs and Policy at helen.baxendale@azregents.edu

University: University of Arizona

| Name of Proposed Academic Program: Bachelor of Science (BS) Computer Science and Engineering |
| :--- |
| Academic Department: College of Engineering, 2303 - Electrical and Computer Engineering Department |
| Geographic Site: |
| All University of Arizona campuses including Main Campus (Tucson), Arizona Online, Distance campuses (Yuma, Chandler, Sierra Vista) |
| Instructional Modality: |
| Primary modality will be Immersion / In-person, online / ONLN and Distance campuses. (However, there may be iCourses and/or hybrid courses |
| Total Credit Hours: 120 Credit Hours |
| Proposed Inception Term: Fall 2023 |
| Brief Program Description: <br> The BS in Computer Science and Engineering provides a unique opportunity for students to deepen their knowledge of computer science <br> and engineering topics by combining theory-based concepts with advanced, enabling computational techniques and technologies to <br> create solutions that address the grand challenges of the 21st century, and beyond. The curriculum applies computer science theory and <br> software development fundamentals to produce computing-based solutions. It includes substantial coverage of engineering principles <br> applied to the design of large, networked, scalable computing systems. Competencies include algorithms and complexity, computer |

science theory, concepts of multiple programming languages, software development, and real-time, embedded and loT systems design and other engineering principles. The proposed CSE program offers distinct curriculum and learning outcomes for undergraduate students compared to other existing programs at the UA as the proposed program takes a holistic approach to coupling computing theory and applications with computer systems design and data science in a unified flow.

The program has a firm engineering foundation that is ABET CAC / EAC compliant and encompasses a discovery-based education utilizing an experiential learning approach. As a part of the curriculum, students complete projects in nearly every semester of the program that emphasize computing theory, communication, teamwork, critical thinking, and engineering professionalism. The program's flexibility allows students to design their course of study and select technical electives from a diverse pool of courses in software, computer science and computer engineering domains such as web and mobile applications, embedded systems, cybersecurity, machine learning, systems, and other interdisciplinary areas.

## Learning Outcomes and Assessment Plan:

Define the core concepts and competencies that the program will convey and stipulate how these key learning outcomes will be measured and assessed.

## Learning Outcome \#1: Analyze a complex computing problem and to apply principles of computing and other relevant disciplines to

 identify solutions.Concepts: Students will apply knowledge of various programming languages, algorithms, and computational elements to satisfy complex computing problems. Students will take courses in computer programming in multiple languages, digital logic, probability and statistics, data structures and algorithms, data collection, organization and management, theory of computation and operating system design.
Competencies: Students will demonstrate knowledge of the design, implementation, and test/analysis of computing solutions. Throughout their coursework, students will learn and use at least 2 different programming languages, understand digital logic and fundamental computer architectures, learn to apply probability and statistics to engineering applications, and apply computing theory and algorithms to analyze and develop diverse computing solutions.
Assessment Methods: This outcome will be assessed in specifically designated homework, exams, papers, or student projects. A rubric will be created for each new CSE course that identifies Criteria, Measures of Assessment, and an Achievement level rating for the different criteria/categories evaluated. The Achievement levels will include: "Exemplary", "Satisfactory", "Developing", and "Unsatisfactory". Rubrics already exist for existing engineering courses. For new courses developed in the curriculum, a plan for identifying the courses of evidence and assessment measures will be developed as the courses are developed. At the end of every semester, a team comprised of the course instructor and the ECE UGCs, will score the rubric using the measures of assessment identified for the course. A Root Cause and Corrective action plan will be developed for any course that scores "Developing" or below. Assessment results are documented and formally maintained in
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a controlled location at the end of each semester and will be published at the UArizona Assessment website. The scores will be tracked over time to facilitate the Continuous Improvement and corrective action plans remain effective from semester to semester, year to year.
Measures: The currently defined measures for the following existing courses will be used: ECE 274A, SIE 305, CSC 355 and ENGR 498A/B. Specific sources of evidence (homework, exams, student projects) and measures will be identified in each of the following new courses and used in a rubric based assessment: CSE 101, CSE 201, CSE 301, CSE 302, and CSE 401. For each rubric category, a rating will be given commensurate with the rubric criteria rating scheme. A student self-assessment survey will also be used for indirect measures of the outcome.
Learning Outcome \#2: Design, implement, and evaluate a computing-based solution to meet a given set of computing requirements in the context of the program's discipline.

Concepts: Students will apply knowledge of various programming languages, algorithms, and computational elements to satisfy specified requirements. They will use various modeling techniques such as the Unified Modeling Language (UML) and other object- oriented concepts to design solutions that meet specified requirements. Students will implement their designs in an appropriate programming language and evaluate/verify whether the implemented code adequately meets the functional and nonfunctional requirements specified.
Competencies: Students will learn modern design / modeling techniques used to capture and evaluate the design of a computing solution. Students will also develop unique designs that meet specified requirements. Additionally, students will evaluate and verify that the specified requirements have been satisfied in the implementation of the product design.
Assessment Methods: This outcome will be assessed in specifically designated homework, exams, papers, or student projects. A rubric will be created for each new CSE course that identifies Criteria, Measures of Assessment, and an Achievement level rating for the different criteria/categories evaluated. The Achievement levels will include: "Exemplary", "Satisfactory", "Developing", and "Unsatisfactory". Rubrics already exist for existing engineering courses. For new courses developed in the curriculum, a plan for identifying the courses of evidence and assessment measures will be developed as the courses are developed. At the end of every semester, a team comprised of the course instructor and the ECE UGCs, will score the rubric using the measures of assessment identified for the course. A Root Cause and Corrective action plan will be developed for any course that scores "Developing" or below. Assessment results are documented and formally maintained in a controlled location at the end of each semester and will be published at the UArizona Assessment website. The scores will be tracked over time to facilitate the Continuous Improvement and corrective action plans remain effective from semester to semester, year to year.
Measures: The currently defined measures for following existing courses will be used: SFWE 302. Specific sources of evidence (homework, exams, student projects) and measures will be identified in each of the following new courses and used in a rubric based assessment: CSE 201, CSE 301, CSE 302, CSE 303, and CSE 401. For each rubric category, a rating will be given commensurate with the rubric criteria rating scheme. A student self-assessment survey will also be used for indirect measures of the outcome.
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## Learning Outcome \#3: Communicate effectively in a variety of professional contexts.

Concepts: Students will apply different communication strategies to share engineering principles and solutions with a wide variety of audiences. They will utilize their writing skills gained in English composition courses to develop technical specifications, documents, and presentations related to the computing-based coursework and projects that are shared in written and presentation-style formats with a variety of audiences including other students, faculty, and industry representatives for sponsored capstone projects.
Competencies: Students will demonstrate their ability to communicate engineering and computing-based solutions via written reports, presentations, and interactions with team members and other stakeholders.
Assessment Methods: This outcome will be assessed in specifically designated homework, exams, papers, or student projects. A rubric will be created for each new CSE course that identifies Criteria, Measures of Assessment, and an Achievement level rating for the different criteria/categories evaluated. The Achievement levels will include: "Exemplary", "Satisfactory", "Developing", and "Unsatisfactory". Rubrics already exist for existing engineering courses. For new courses developed in the curriculum, a plan for identifying the courses of evidence and assessment measures will be developed as the courses are developed. At the end of every semester, a team comprised of the course instructor and the ECE UGCs, will score the rubric using the measures of assessment identified for the course. A Root Cause and Corrective action plan will be developed for any course that scores "Developing" or below. Assessment results are documented and formally maintained in a controlled location at the end of each semester and will be published at the UArizona Assessment website. The scores will be tracked over time to facilitate the Continuous Improvement and corrective action plans remain effective from semester to semester, year to year.
Measures: The currently defined measures for following existing courses will be used: SFWE 302 and ENGR 498A/B. (Additional measures may be used as new courses are developed that have significant projects requiring students to document and communicate their technical solutions to computing based problems.) For each rubric category, a rating will be given commensurate with the rubric criteria rating scheme. A student self-assessment survey will also be used for indirect measures of the outcome.
Learning Outcome \#4: Recognize professional responsibilities and make informed judgments in computing practice based on legal and ethical principles.

Concepts: Students will effectively utilize knowledge of legal and ethical standards and guidelines used in computing practices. They will apply their knowledge throughout the development of their capstone project as they complete trades related to their design solutions. Students will likely utilize/integrate commercially, and potentially proprietary elements provided by project sponsors in their capstone projects. Students complete a 1-unit course in engineering ethics that consists of various case studies in ethical aspects involved with engineering and using computing-based solutions/products.

Competencies: Students will demonstrate their ability to understand and utilize legal and ethical practices followed in computing professions. They will understand concepts such as intellectual property, the safety, health, and welfare of the public, academic and professional integrity, and other similar topics related to engineering ethics.

Assessment Methods: This outcome will be assessed in specifically designated homework, exams, papers, or student projects. A rubric will be created for each new CSE course that identifies Criteria, Measures of Assessment, and an Achievement level rating for the different criteria/categories evaluated. The Achievement levels will include: "Exemplary", "Satisfactory", "Developing", and "Unsatisfactory". Rubrics already exist for existing engineering courses. For new courses developed in the curriculum, a plan for identifying the courses of evidence and assessment measures will be developed as the courses are developed. At the end of every semester, a team comprised of the course instructor and the ECE UGCs, will score the rubric using the measures of assessment identified for the course. A Root Cause and Corrective action plan will be developed for any course that scores "Developing" or below. Assessment results are documented and formally maintained in a controlled location at the end of each semester and will be published at the UArizona Assessment website. The scores will be tracked over time to facilitate the Continuous Improvement and corrective action plans remain effective from semester to semester, year to year.
Measures: The currently defined measures for following existing courses will be used: ECE 311 and ENGR 498A/B. (Additional measures may be used as new courses are developed that have significant projects requiring students to employ ethical practices as they develop technical solutions to computing based problems.) For each rubric category, a rating will be given commensurate with the rubric criteria rating scheme. A student self-assessment survey will also be used for indirect measures of the outcome.
Learning Outcome \#5: Function effectively as a member or leader of a team engaged in activities appropriate to the program's discipline.

Concepts: Students will utilize teaming, communication, and collaboration skills to develop large scale and complex computing solutions/products. Students will learn how to break a large project into smaller segments/subsystems/tasks that are allocated and performed across teams which have at least $4+$ team members. Students will use a learn and use a variety of communication strategies that allow them to share their own progress on tasks and also contribute to peer tasking to achieve common goals. Students will also learn fundamental leadership strategies used to coordinate and facilitate teamwork, resolving conflict with peers and others, collecting and reporting status to others, and keeping the team focused on achieving their commitments.
Competencies: Students will develop skills that allow them to plan, communicate status, perform tasking required to meet project milestones, resolve conflict, and work as a team to achieve goals and deliver computing products.
Assessment Methods: This outcome will predominately be assessed in peer / team evaluations that are provided throughout the 2 semesters of ENGR 498A and ENGR 498B. The evaluations are required of all team members working on the interdisciplinary capstone project after each interim project deliverable or review is submitted/conducted (approximately 3 times per semester). The evaluation requires each team member to evaluate the other team members contributions to the project at each major milestone. Team members are evaluated based on their overall technical contributions, ability to work with the team, amount of effort continued to the project, and dependability. At the completion of the capstone project, the project's sponsor also completes an evaluation of each team members contributions and performance on the project
throughout the 2 semesters. The course instructor also provides an assessment of how each team member and team leaders worked together throughout the semester on achieving the project goals and implementation.
Measures: Instructor grading of the ENGR $498 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{B}$ team and project sponsor evaluations submitted by each student and the project sponsors. (Additional measures may be used as new courses are developed that have significant projects requiring students to work in teams to develop technical solutions to computing based problems.) A student self-assessment survey will also be used for indirect measures of the outcome

## Learning Outcome \#6: Apply computer science theory and software development fundamentals to produce computing-based solutions.

 [CS]Concepts: Students will utilize their acquired mathematical, automation, and computation theory knowledge to analyze and develop computing-based solutions to meet a given problem statement. They will demonstrate their ability to analyze complex problems to determine whether solutions can be automated, evaluate resources required to implement / automate the problem as a function of the size and complexity of the anticipated input stimuli and other key parameters, and develop optimal implementations to address a given problem statement.
Competencies: Students will use mathematical analysis and computer theory to evaluate the complexities and magnitude of a given problem space, evaluate different approaches and designs that could be used to implement computing-based solutions to said problems, and implement the solutions developed.
Assessment Methods: This outcome will be assessed in specifically designated homework, exams, papers, or student projects. A rubric will be created for each new CSE course that identifies Criteria, Measures of Assessment, and an Achievement level rating for the different criteria/categories evaluated. The Achievement levels will include: "Exemplary", "Satisfactory", "Developing", and "Unsatisfactory". Rubrics already exist for existing engineering courses. For new courses developed in the curriculum, a plan for identifying the courses of evidence and assessment measures will be developed as the courses are developed. At the end of every semester, a team comprised of the course instructor and the ECE UGCs, will score the rubric using the measures of assessment identified for the course. A Root Cause and Corrective action plan will be developed for any course that scores "Developing" or below. Assessment results are documented and formally maintained in a controlled location at the end of each semester and will be published at the UArizona Assessment website. The scores will be tracked over time to facilitate the Continuous Improvement and corrective action plans remain effective from semester to semester, year to year.
Measures: The currently defined measures for following existing courses will be used: ECE 274A, SFWE 302 and ENGR 498A/B. Specific sources of evidence (homework, exams, student projects) and measures will be identified in each of the following new courses and used in a rubric based assessment: CSE 302 and CSE 401. For each rubric category, a rating will be given commensurate with the rubric criteria rating scheme. A student self-assessment survey will also be used for indirect measures of the outcome.

The Taskstream Curriculum map is shown below:
9/29/22, 11:15 AM Curriculum Map - Courses and Activities Mapped to BS Computer Science \& Engineering

University of Aizona AMS " Sandboxes
Ingrid Novodvorsky Playspace
BS Computer Science and Engineering
Courses and Activities Mapped to BS Computer Science \& Engineering

|  | Outcome |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Outcome t:Analysis Analye a complex computing problem and to apply principles of computing and other relevant disciplines to identify solutions | Outcome 2: Design, Implementation, \& Evaluation <br> Design, implement and eval uate a computing-based solution to meeta given set of computing requirements in the context of the program's discipline. | Outcome 3 - Communicaton Communicate effectively in a variety of professional comtexts | Outcome 4: Ethics Recognize professional responsibilities and make informed judgments in computing practice based on legal and ethical principles. | Outcome 5: Teamwork Function effect ively as a member or leader of a team engaged in activities appropriate to the program's discipline. | Outcome 6: Application <br> Apply computer sclence theoryand software development fundamentals to produce computing-based solutions. |
| Courses and Learning Activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ENGL 101 Fieshman Composition |  |  | P/A |  |  |  |
| ENGL 102 Freshman Composition |  |  | P/A |  |  |  |
| MATH 122 A/B Calculua I | 1/A |  |  |  |  | I/A |
| MATH 129 Calculus II | P/A |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic Science Including Lab | 1/A |  |  |  |  |  |
| ENGR 102 Intio to Engineering |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |
| CSE 101 Programming \| | IPA |  |  |  |  |  |
| MATH 243 <br> Discrete Math | P/A |  |  |  |  |  |
| CSE 201 Plogramming II | P/A | P/A |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ECE 274A } \\ & \text { Digital Logic } \end{aligned}$ | P/A |  |  |  | P/A | P/A |
| CSE 301 Data Management | P/A | P/A |  |  |  |  |
| CSE 302 <br> Theory of Computation | P/A | P/A |  |  |  | IPA |
| CSE 303 <br> Fund. of Computer Architecture |  | P/A |  |  |  |  |
| ECE 311 Engineering Ethics |  |  | I/A | 1/A |  |  |
| SIE 305 Probability \& Statistics | P/A | P/A |  |  |  |  |
| SFWE 302 <br> Software Architecture \& Design |  | P/A | P/A |  | P/A | P/A |
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| 9/29/22, 11:15 AM |  |  | Curriculum Map - Courses and Activities Mapped to BS Computer Science \& Engineering |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Outcome |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Outcome I: Analysis <br> Analyze a complex computing problem and to apply principles of computing and other relevant disciplines to identify solutions | Outcome 2: Design, Implementation, \& Evaluation <br> Design, implement, and eval uate a computing-based solution to meeta given set of computing requirements in the context of the program's discipline. | Outcome 3 : Communicaton Communicate effectively in a variety of professional contexts. | Outcome 4: Ethics recognize professional responsibilities and make informed judgments in computing practice based on legal and ethical principles. | Outcome 5. Teamwork Function effectively as a member or leader of a team engaged in activities appropriate to the program's discipline. | Outcome 6: Application Apply computer sclence theory and software development fundamentals to produce computing-based solutions. |
| CSE 401 Operating Syatems |  |  | P/A | P/A |  |  |  | P/A |
| ENGR 498A/B Senior Capstone |  |  |  |  | P/A | P/A | P/A | P/A |
| Exit Survey Indirect Measure |  |  | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| Legend: | 1 | Introduce | Ped | Practiced A | Assessed | I/P Introduced/Prac | tices P/A | Practiced/Assessed |

A summary of the Program Assessment Plan and measures is shown in the table below:

| Assessment Measure | Source(s) of Evidence | Data Collection Point(s) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Student Academic Advising Report (SAAR) | Online record keeping database to which <br> the student and advisor have access. | At the end of an academic year at a <br> minimum |
| ABET Academic Program Review | ABET Program Evaluators responses | Every 6 years |
| Rubrics for existing CSE courses used to <br> assess each student outcome that <br> identifies criteria, measure of assessment, <br> and an achievement level rating (i.e., | Class assignments <br> Exams <br> Course Projects <br> Course Reports <br> Unsatisfactory). | End of each semester the specific <br> Other forms of student work tailored to any <br> specific course) |
| Rubrics for all new CSE courses used to <br> assess each student outcome that <br> identifies criteria, measure of assessment, <br> and an achievement level rating (i.e., | Class assignments <br> Exams <br> Exemplary, Satisfactory, Developing, | Course Projects <br> Course Reports <br> Unsatisfactory). |
| Other forms of student work tailored to any <br> specific course) |  |  |
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| Root cause and corrective action plans | Results of individual course rubric <br> assessments | End of each semester the specific <br> courses are taught |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Senior exit survey (used for indirect <br> measures of outcomes). | Student survey | At student graduation |
| Continuous improvement opportunities <br> (recommended by the Undergraduate <br> Committee (UGC)) | Assessment Data | At the end of an academic year |
| Industry needs assessment | Required Curriculum | Every 4 years |
| CSE educational objectives | Course / Program Objectives | Annually prior to faculty retreat |
| Senior degree check | Review of student degree requirements | Semester prior to graduation |

## Projected Enrollment for the First Five Years:

| 5 5-YEAR PROJECTED ANNUAL ENROLLMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1^{\text {st }}$ Year | $2^{\text {nd }}$ Year | $3^{\text {rd }}$ Year | $4^{\text {th }}$ Year | $5^{\text {th }}$ Year |  |
| Number of <br> Students | 60 | 140 | 300 | 425 | 500 |  |

## Evidence of Market Demand:

Please provide an estimate of the future state-wide and national demand for graduates of the proposed academic program. Please specify the source (e.g., Burning Glass; Jobs EQ; US Department of Labor) of workforce demand data and detail the assumptions that underpin these projections. If job market data is unavailable or not applicable, please explain why and elaborate another justification for the proposed program.

The market demand for those trained in engineering computing disciplines is projected to have significant growth in both the near- and longterm futures. Specifically, the chart below shows the growth in computing-related jobs up to 2021, as well as the projected growth through 2033, both regionally (Arizona, California, Nevada, New Mexico, Utah) and nationally. ${ }^{4}$
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| University of Arizona BS Software Engineering College of Engineering <br> University of Arizona BA and BS Computer Science College of Science <br> University of Arizona BS Computer Science <br> BAS Applied Computing College of Applied Science and Technology <br> (CAST) <br> Arizona State University BS Software Engineering School of Computing and Augmented <br> Intelligence, IRA A Fulton Schools of <br> Engineering <br> Arizona State University BS Computer Science School of Computing and Augmented <br> Intelligence, IRA A Fulton Schools of <br> Engineering <br>  Northern Arizona <br> University BS Computer Science <br> School of Informatics, Computing, and Cyber   <br> Systems   |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |

## OR CURRICULAR AFFAIRS USE ONLY

## Objection(s) Raised by Another Arizona Public University? YES NO

Has another Arizona public university lodged a written objection to the proposed program with the proposing university and the Board of Regents within seven days of receiving notice of the proposed program?

## f Yes, Response to Objections:

Please provide details of how the proposing university has addressed the objection. If the objection remains unresolved, please explain why it is in the best interests of the university system and the state that the Board override it.

## New Resources Required? (i.e., faculty and administrative positions; infrastructure, etc.):

Please provide an estimate of the personnel and infrastructure requirements of the proposed new program and the corresponding costs. Please
specify if the proposed program requires new resources (e.g., new faculty lines; a new laboratory; new teaching assistantships or scholarships) or whether resource needs may be met through the reassignment or extension of existing ones. If resource extension or reassignment will impact extant programs and/or operations, please make this clear.

| Resources | Quantity |
| :--- | :---: |
| Faculty | 13 |
| Staff | 2 |
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| Other (TAs, Graders, LAs) <br> (\# Semester hires over 5 <br> years) | 28 TAs (over 5 years) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Equipment | 30 Graders (over 5 years) |
| 28 Lab Assistants (over 5 years) |  |

Plan to Request Program Fee/Differentiated Tuition?
No

Estimated Amount: N/A
Program Fee Justification: N/A
Note: The fee setting process requires additional steps and forms that need to be completed. Please work with your University Fees office to complete a fee request.
Specialized Accreditation? YES
Accreditor: Computing Accreditation Commission (CAC) of Accreditation Board for Engineering and Technology (ABET)
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## New Academic Program PEER COMPARISON

Select three peers (if possible/applicable) for completing the comparison chart from ABOR-approved institutions, AAU members, and/or other relevant institutions recognized in the field. The comparison programs are not required to have the same degree type and/or title as the proposed UA program. Information for the proposed UA program must be consistent throughout the proposal documents. Minors and Certificates may opt to include only 2 peer comparisons.

| Program name, degree, and institution | Proposed UA Program | BS Computer Science and Engineering University of California - Davis BS CSE UC Davis | BSE Computer Science University of Michigan BSE CSE UofM | BA / BS Computer Science University of Arizona BS CS UArizona |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current number of students enrolled |  | $350{ }^{1}$ | $1435{ }^{2}$ | 1406 (UA enrollment data - Fall 2022) |
| Program Description | The Computer Science and Engineering curriculum applies computer science theory and software development fundamentals to produce computing-based solutions. It includes substantial coverage of algorithms and complexity, computer science theory, concepts of multiple programming languages, software development, and engineering principles. <br> The program has a firm engineering foundation that is | The Computer Science and Engineering major prepares students to do further work in hardware, software, theory, or electronics, either in industry or in postgraduate study. <br> The primary differences between the Computer Science and Engineering and the Computer Science majors are the extent of course work covering hardware and the flexibility of the curriculum. The Computer Science and Engineering major develops a solid understanding of the entire | Computer scientists are experts in computation - both in terms of the theory of computation and its innumerable practical applications. A computer scientist understands how to design and analyze algorithms, how to store and retrieve information, how computers function, and how to develop software systems that solve complex problems. Specialists within computer science might have expertise in developing software applications, in designing computer hardware, | Computer science emerges from the interaction of two powerful kinds of machines: computers and the human brain. Computer scientists are inventive, innovative, collaborative thinkers creating software solutions and synergies on the cutting edge of technology. The Information Age is here; computer scientists are shaping it. <br> All undergraduate students begin the program as PreComputer Science. Students are admitted to the BA or BS in Computer Science |

[^5]|  | ABET CAC / EAC compliant and encompasses a discovery-based education utilizing an experiential learning approach. As a part of the curriculum, students complete projects in nearly every semester of the program that emphasize computing theory, communication, teamwork, critical thinking, and engineering professionalism. The program's flexibility allows students to design their course of study and select technical electives from a diverse pool of courses in software, computer science and computer engineering domains such as web and mobile applications, embedded systems, cybersecurity, machine learning, systems, and other interdisciplinary areas. | machine, including hands-on experience with its hardware components. The Computer Science major has some course work on hardware, at the digitaldesign level, on simulators. The Computer Science and Engineering major has fewer free electives. The CS major's more generous electives make it easier to complete a minor or double major. <br> A key theme of the Computer and Science Engineering curriculum is the hardware/software interaction, a theme reflected in the courses required and the orientation of the courses themselves. <br> The Computer Science \& Engineering major provides students with a solid background in mathematics, physics, chemistry, and electronic circuits and systems, all supporting the computer hardware and computer software courses that constitute the focus of the curriculum. | or in analyzing algorithms, and in many other current and emerging specializations. The Computer Science and Engineering major available through the College of Engineering will prepare students for a world of incredible opportunities. The world-class faculty will challenge students to deepen their intellectual curiosity, and the curriculum will allow students to tailor their computing studies to their specific areas of interest. Along the way, students will develop both algorithmic fundamentals and a framework for understanding that will enable students to keep pace with the ever-changing world of computer science. | program once pre-major courses are completed, and admission criteria are met. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Target Careers | - Software developer <br> - Computer Science engineer for variety of application areas: <br> - Web <br> - Mobile <br> - Embedded systems | - Software developer <br> - Computer Science engineer for variety of application areas: <br> - Web <br> - Mobile <br> - Embedded systems | - Software developer <br> - Computer Science engineer for variety of application areas: Web Mobile Embedded systems | - Computer programmer <br> - Software developer <br> - Artificial Intelligence programmer <br> - Machine Learning programmer <br> - Database specialist |


|  | - Avionics <br> - Robotics <br> - Machine Learning <br> - Data Management / <br> Data Science <br> - Mobile Application developer <br> - Other software related fields | - Avionics <br> - Robotics <br> - Machine Learning <br> - Data Management / <br> Data Science <br> - Mobile Application developer <br> - Other software related fields | - Avionics <br> - Robotics <br> - Machine Learning <br> - Data Management / <br> Data Science <br> - Mobile Application developer <br> - Other software related fields | - Mobile application developer <br> - Web developer <br> - Tool developer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Emphases? (Yes/No) List, if applicable | No | No | No | No |
| Minimum \# of units required | 120 | 144 | 128 | 120 |
| Level of Math required (if applicable) | Significant <br> Includes 17 total units of Calculus I \& II, Discrete Math, Probability / Statistics and one additional Math course of the student's choosing. | Substantial <br> Includes 28 total units of Calculus I \& II, Vector Analysis, Linear Algebra, Differential Equations and Discrete Math | Significant <br> Includes 16 total units of Calculus I \& II, Linear Algebra, and Discrete Math | Significant <br> Includes 12 units of PreCalculus, Calculus I, and Calculus II or Linear Algebra |
| Level of Second Language required (if applicable) | None | None | None | $2^{\text {nd }}$ semester proficiency |
| Pre-Major? (Yes/No) If yes, provide requirements. | Yes <br> - Admitted as "Engineering - No Major Selected" <br> - Completion of 12 or more UA credits of coursework within the Engineering curricula may that include: <br> - MATH 122 A/B - Calculus I <br> - MATH 129 - Calculus II <br> - ENGL 102 - English Composition II <br> - Natural Science w/Lab <br> - ENGR 102 - Intro to Engineering <br> - CSE 101- Programming I | No | Yes <br> (See requirements in the category that follows below for admission to the major) | Yes <br> - CSC 110 - Intro to Computer Programming 1 <br> - CSC 120 - Intro to Computer Programming 2 <br> - CSC 210 - Software Development <br> - CSC 245 - Intro to Discrete Structures |


| Special requirements to declare/gain admission? (i.e. prerequisites, GPA, application, etc.) | All students are enrolled as Engineering, No Major Selected until they have completed the following: <br> - Calculus I with a grade of C or better <br> - 12 or more UA credits of coursework within the Engineering curriculum (shown above) <br> Admissions GPA of 2.0 or higher <br> New first-year students CAN be admitted into the degree program, prior to enrolling at the University of Arizona. A student's eligibility is conveyed to admitted students by the ENGR Academic Affairs Office, if/when a student is also admitted to Honors College. | - History/social science $=2$ years <br> - English (or language of instruction) $=4$ years <br> - Mathematics $=3$ years (4 years recommended) <br> - Laboratory science $=2$ years ( 3 years recommended) <br> - Language other than English (or other second language) $=2$ years (3 years recommended) <br> - Visual and performing arts = 1 year <br> - College preparatory elective = 1 year <br> - Admissions GPA $=3.0$ or higher | Due to capacity constraints, students who are admitted to the University of Michigan in Fall 2023 or later must first be selected for the CS major before they can declare the major. <br> To declare a major in CS-Eng, students must be a College of Engineering student and: <br> 1. Have completed at least one full term at UM Ann Arbor <br> 2. Have an overall UM GPA of 2.0 or better in courses taken at the UM Ann Arbor campus and be in good standing <br> 3. Have completed or earned credit by exam or transfer for at least one course in each of these categories all with a grade of C or better (no Optional P/F): <br> a) Calculus (e.g., Math 115, 116 or 156) <br> b) Calculus-based physics lectures (e.g., Physics 140 or 160) or chemistry lectures (e.g., Chem 130) <br> c) Required engineering courses (Engr 100, 101, or 151) | - Completion of the Pre-Major Courses (shown above) <br> - GPA of 3.0 or higher in CSC 230, 210, and 245 <br> - Cumulative GPA of 2.4 or higher <br> - GPA of 2.0 or higher in all attempts at UA CSC courses <br> - Complete at least 2 programming courses at UA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Internship, practicum, or applied/experiential requirements? If yes, describe. | Yes Senior Interdisciplinary Capstone (ENGR 498A and ENGR 498B) | YesSenior Design Project (2 <br> semesters) | Yes <br> Major Design Project | Optional <br> Students may earn up to 6 units of internship credit. |

## Additional questions:

1. How does the proposed program align with peer programs? Briefly summarize the similarities between the proposed program and peers, which could include curriculum, overall themes, faculty expertise, intended audience, etc.

The UA Computer Science and Engineering degree program is similar to the three peer programs that require and build strong foundational skills in math and/or physics (or other natural sciences), and computing applications as part of their curriculums. The math courses are similar and deviate only after Calculus II. All programs also offer several introductory and advanced programming courses using a variety of computer programming languages. Additional programming skill development/experience is an integral part of the experiential course work and projects in other required courses. All programs offer a course in algorithm analysis, and a variety of technical electives to match student special interest areas. Students in all the programs will be able to pursue software development careers in a variety of diverse and expansive applications areas including web-based development, mobile application development, embedded systems, robotics, machine learning, artificial intelligence, and other software-related fields.

The three Computer Science and Engineering programs (UA, UC Davis, and UofM) are interdisciplinary and offer full-semester courses in computer organization, probability and statistics, introductory hardware courses, and a capstone or major design project. The student learning outcomes (SLOs) and curriculum for the newly proposed College of Engineering CSE degree program, UC Davis and UofM's CSE degrees comply with the ABET /CAC program criterion for Computer Science programs. UC Davis and UofM's CSE programs are fully CAC accredited and the new UArizona CSE program will apply for accreditation after graduating our first student. (Note: The University of Arizona's current Computer Science program offered in the College of Science is not ABET / CAC accredited.)
2. How does the proposed program stand out or differ from peer programs? Briefly summarize the differences between the proposed program and peers, which could include curriculum, overall themes, faculty expertise, intended audience, etc.

While there are many similarities in the CSE and CS degree programs at UArizona, there are also key differences that are very attractive to incoming and prospective UArizona students. First and foremost, one of the CSE degree major strengths is the multi-disciplinary influences provided by the Systems and Industrial Engineering, and Electrical and Computer Engineering Departments. The elective options available to students are very diverse and can include courses that give students a broad-based experience in not only software engineering, but also Electrical Engineering and/or Systems Engineering specialties. The intersections of the ECE, SE, and the CSE degree programs, foster the ability to tackle interdisciplinary engineering problems to meet the evolving technological changes and requirements to meet society's needs. This manifests itself in CSE students being an integral part of the highly successful Interdisciplinary Capstone
course (ENGR 498A/B) where students work on multi-disciplined teams to develop products for a diverse set of industry and/or academia sponsors.

The new UArizona CSE courses will be developed using relevant and industry-focused technology solutions, tools, languages, and methodologies in a diverse portfolio of applications. Wherever possible, the software development tools and platforms used in the coursework will consist of widely available open-source integrated development environments (IDEs), operating systems (OS), and cloudbased infrastructures. The Software DevSecOps course uses a state-of-the-art software DevOps workflow approach integrated with security considerations using common tools used in the industry. Software DevSecOps enables students to develop, test, and deliver secure software products faster and more efficiently, while at the same time providing a development pipeline of new capabilities and features to consumers. Using DevOps workflows and continuous integration / continuous delivery (CI/CD) approaches, students will be able to plan, develop, and deliver software features to meet customer's ever-evolving needs. Students will also learn to track and evaluate how the software's quality, security and reliability is increased using the SW DevSecOps approaches.

As is often asked, what are the differences between the UArizona BS Computer Science and Engineering degree and the UArizona CoS Computer Science degree? To begin with, the Computer Science and Engineering degree complies with the ABET/CAC criteria for Computer Science degrees. The Computer Science degree is not compliant with ABET / CAC accreditation requirements. The CSE degree is comprised of 17 units of math, while the CS program has only 12 units of math. While there are some intersections in both programs between the topics and types of classes in each respective degree, the focus of each program is very different. In Computer Science, students focus more on the programming fundamentals and computer science theory. Computer Science and Engineering students, on the other hand, focus on the application of computer science principles to solve complex, multi-faceted/multi-disciplined engineering problems and product development. Computer Science and Engineering will provide a unique opportunity for students to deepen their knowledge of computer science and engineering topics by combining theory-based concepts with advanced, enabling computational techniques and technologies to create solutions that address the grand challenges of the $21^{\text {st }}$ century, and beyond.

The BS Computer Science and Engineering curriculum applies computer science theory and software development fundamentals to produce computing-based solutions. It includes substantial coverage of engineering principles applied to the design of large, networked, scalable computing systems. Competencies include algorithms and complexity, concepts of multiple programming languages, software development, real-time, embedded, and IoT systems design and other broad-based engineering principles.

Both programs offer students the opportunity to select technical computing electives that allow them to focus in areas they are interested in. Both programs also offer students the opportunity to pursue supplementary study in another field such as a minor or potentially even double majoring in an adjacent program.

## 3. How do these differences make this program more applicable to the target student population and/or a better fit for the University of Arizona?

The University of Arizona College of Engineering currently does not offer an engineering degree related explicitly to Computer Science and Engineering. UA engineering students that have historically leaned more toward software development careers have typically obtained Electrical and Computer Engineering degrees, with an emphasis towards Computer Engineering, and take various computer programming courses as electives. Alternatively, these students obtain a Computer Science degree from the College of Science that lead to future software career opportunities but lack the specific engineering discipline and emphasis offered with the CSE degree curriculum. Since software development as an engineering discipline is a 'in high-demand' field (as shown by the market analysis), it is likely that students are selecting other programs or universities since UArizona does not currently offer Computer Science and Engineering. It is believed that by offering an innovative and industry relevant CSE degree, new students will be attracted to the university. As we have seen over the past decade, software has become an integral element/component within the systems, products, and technologies that are part of the $4^{\text {th }}$ industrial revolution.

Given the ever-increasing demand in the industry for computer scientists and software engineers, there is an equally increasing opportunity for the College of Engineering to diversify their degree offerings by offering this new degree. This too will attract more students to the University of Arizona.

Additionally, two of the colleges' strategic pillars are:

1) Driving student success for a rapidly changing world, and
2) Tackling critical problems at the edges of human endeavor.

The new CSE degree plays a critical role in both pillars. The students graduating with the degree in CSE will be better positioned to develop the skills and mindsets to be leaders in the areas of space exploration, automation and connectivity, human and artificial intelligent systems, data science, machine learning, and network sciences.

By offering a competitive, relevant, and experiential-based learning Computer Science and Engineering program to prospective students, it increases not only the net enrollment in the college, but also the ability to grow research programs that are attractive to forthcoming undergraduate and graduate students. All of which contribute to higher recruitment numbers and bringing additional revenue to the College and University. Hence, we will recruit faculty that can significantly impact applied computing areas of research and education. These faculty will pursue research grants to advance the state-of-the-art in applied computer science and engineering and integrate their research into the curriculum. The broader impact of these faculty will ultimately drive the program's national ranking higher.

Another goal of offering the Computer Science and Engineering degrees is to increase the number of female and other underrepresented students in the College of Engineering by leveraging Broaden Participation in Computing (BPC) - a national initiative by the Computing Research Association with support from the National Science Foundation's (NSF) Directorate for Computer and Information Science and Engineering (CISE). Additional features and programs that contribute to enhancing student success and increasing diversity and inclusion will be included in the support infrastructure for the degrees, aiming to foster academic cultures that are more inclusive of non-dominant identities and infuse policy-driven, identity-inclusive strategies throughout the entire program.

| From: | Valerdi, Ricardo - (rvalerdi) |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | $\underline{\text { ONeal, Sharon L-(sharononeal) }}$ |
| Cc: | $\underline{\text { Wu, Michael H. - (mhwu); Hahn, David W - (dwhahn) }}$ |
| Subject: | Re: Letter of Support - Computer Science and Engineering Degree Programs |
| Date: | Saturday, October 8, 2022 6:25:23 PM <br> image001.png |
| ittachments: | $\underline{\text { image002.png }}$ |

Sharon,
The SIE Department supports the proposed degree programs and commits to ongoing offerings of the courses listed below.

Regards,
-Ricardo
From: ONeal, Sharon L - (sharononeal) [sharononeal@arizona.edu](mailto:sharononeal@arizona.edu)
Sent: Saturday, October 8, 2022 8:08:00 PM
To: Valerdi, Ricardo - (rvalerdi) [rvalerdi@arizona.edu](mailto:rvalerdi@arizona.edu)
Cc: Wu, Michael H. - (mhwu) [mhwu@arizona.edu](mailto:mhwu@arizona.edu); Hahn, David W - (dwhahn)
[dwhahn@arizona.edu](mailto:dwhahn@arizona.edu)
Subject: Letter of Support - Computer Science and Engineering Degree Programs
Ricardo,
The College of Engineering and the Electrical and Computer Engineering Department are proposing a new BS undergraduate degree in Computer Science and Engineering (CSE) beginning in Fall 2023 to be taught in both the In-person and Online modalities. We are also planning to subsequently offer a MS and PhD program to begin in 2024/2025 academic year.

The CSE curriculum applies computer science theory and software development fundamentals to produce computing-based solutions. It includes substantial coverage of algorithms and complexity, computer science theory, concepts of multiple programming languages, software development, and engineering principles. The program has a firm engineering foundation that is ABET CAC / EAC compliant.

We have obtained very enthusiastic endorsements from Provost Folks, Vice-Provost Heileman and Dean Hahn (CoE) for this new degree.

The table below summarizes the full-time projected enrollments in the CSE program extrapolated out over the first 5 years, at which we believe we will achieve a steady enrollment number. These
numbers were estimated based on actual enrollments ${ }^{[1]}$ in other AAU universities that have dual computer science programs in the College of Engineering and a Computer Science program in another college.

| Computer Science and Engineering Projected Enrollments (all programs) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Degree | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 |
| (2023 / 2024) | $\mathbf{( 2 0 2 4 / \mathbf { 2 0 2 5 ) }} \mathbf{( 2 0 2 5 / 2 0 2 6 )}$ | $(\mathbf{2 0 2 6 / 2 0 2 7 )}$ | $(\mathbf{2 0 2 7}$ / 2028) |  |  |
| BS | 60 | 140 | 300 | 425 | 500 |
| MS | 0 | 10 | 30 | 60 | 120 |
| PhD | 0 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 50 |

As part of the BS curriculum, the following course(s) from your Dept will be required for the degree:

## SIE 305 - Introduction to Probability and Statistics

SFWE 302 - Software Architecture and Design (co-owned with ECE)
SFWE 402 - Software DevSecOps (co-owned with ECE)

As part of the graduate program, the following classes may be taken as electives by the MS / PhD CSE students:

## SIE 533 -Fundamentals of Data Science for Engineers

SIE 578 -Artificial Intelligence for Health and Medicine

I'm writing to obtain your support for our plan to require these courses in our supporting coursework. Kindly respond with your acknowledgement and support for these new degree programs, so that it can be incorporated in the proposal that we are finalizing to submit for ABOR approval in early 2023.

If you have any questions, please feel free to reach out either via email or by cell at (520) 822-4040.

Sharon ONeal


[^6]THE UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
Online, Distance \& Continuing Education

October 2022

## Prof. Sharon ONeal

Professor and Director, Software Engineering
College of Engineering
University of Arizona
Re: BS/MS Computer Science and Engineering online and distance degree programs - Letter of support
Dear Prof. ONeal,
On behalf of the University of Arizona's Online, Distance and Continuing Education (ODCE) division, I am pleased to offer this letter of support for your proposal to offer bachelor's and master's degrees in Computer Science and Engineering to online and distance students. Increased access to this critical field will provide our students, many of whom are adults with family and job responsibilities and cannot travel to Tucson, a great opportunity to achieve their educational goals.

As the university's in-house full-service enterprise for online and distance education we look forward to collaborating with you. Here is a snapshot of our services and support:

- Online curriculum planning and program development;
- Online course design;
- Marketing, student recruitment, and enrollment management; and,
- Student success coaching for increased retention and graduation.

Our ODCE team will work with you in efforts to help increase diversity, accessibility, and degree completion for students enrolled in these innovative STEM programs.

If you require more information about our support for this proposal, please feel free to contact Caleb Simmons (calebsimmons@arizona.edu), executive director for online education; and/or, Carla Holloway (carlaholloway@arizona.edu), executive director for distance education.

Sincerely,
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